

# Effect of Rice Husk and Diatomite on the Insulating Properties of Kaolin -Clay Firebricks

Emmanuel Ogo ONCHE, Benjamin Iyenagbe UGHEOKE, Sunday Albert LAWAL and Utibe Martin DICKSON

Mechanical Engineering Department, Federal University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria oncheogo@yahoo.com, ugheokeb@gmail.com, lawalbert2003@yahoo.com, princeutibe@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

This work was carried out to investigate the effect of rice husk and diatomite on the insulating properties of kaolin-clay firebrick. Five firebrick samples of different compositions were fired at 900°C, 1000°C, 1100°C, and 1200°C. Samples A-E are all insulating firebricks that can withstand temperatures ranging from 900°C to 1200°C since none of the samples crumbled during firing. The results showed that they all had good insulating characteristics with their highly porous structure making them suitable for backup insulation. Mixing ratios of 3:2:4:1 representing weight in grams of kaolin, plastic clay, rice husk and diatomite respectively for sample D gave the optimum performance values in terms of modulus of rupture, apparent porosity, apparent density, bulk density, and thermal conductivity at all temperatures. At 1200°C, the values are 22.57kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> for modulus of rupture, 98.25% for apparent porosity, 2.38g/cm<sup>3</sup> for apparent density, 1.11g/cm<sup>3</sup> for bulk density, and 0.038w/mK for thermal conductivity.

# Keywords

Refractories; Firebrick, Insulating; Conductivity.

#### Introduction

In an earlier paper [1], the properties of kaolin-rice husk insulating fire-bricks were identified. However, the use of rice husk to produce pores in insulating firebricks is not entirely sufficient, since on firing, it produces ash within the firebrick matrix. This ash actually decreases the efficiency of the firebrick.

As already reported [2], diatomite which is naturally highly porous and is found in large deposits in some States in Northern Nigeria, could be considered for use to improve the characteristics of kaolin clay firebricks but they had never practically carried out this work. This paper investigates the effect of varying rice husk and diatomite contents on the insulating properties of kaolin clay firebrick.

## Materials, Equipment and Methods

The materials used in this work include Kaolin, plastic clay, rice-husk, diatomite and water.

The equipment employed include jaw crusher, pulverizer, electric furnace, kiln, sieve, container, measuring tape, vernier caliper, measuring cylinder, weight balance, electric oven, pyrometric cone, thermal conductivity apparatus.

## The Production of Insulating Firebricks Test Specimens

Insulating firebrick consisting of kaolin, plastic clay, rice husk, and diatomite was produced using the following processes:

- Refined kaolin and plastic clay were crushed and ground separately, and stored in respective labeled dry containers. The rice husk was screened and examined to ensure that no rice grain existed in the mass. It was ground and carefully sieved using a mesh of size 30, and also stored in a labeled dry container. Diatomite was also crushed and ground properly, and stored away in a labeled dry container.
- 30% Kaolin, and 12% plastic clay were measured out and mixed with varying percentage proportions of rice husk and diatomite (Table 1).

Wooden moulds of internal dimensions (7.5  $\times$  3.7  $\times$  1.5) cm were used for hand -



moulding of the bricks. Test specimens were formed according to the composition of the mixture. The moulded mixtures were left to dry in the atmosphere, and thereafter, they were dried in an oven at 110°C.

Sample Code	Kaolin	Plastic clay	Rice Husk	Diatomite
А	450	180	450	420
В	450	180	555	315
С	450	180	645	225
D	450	180	750	120
E	450	180	435	435

Table 1. Composition of Brick samples by weight (Total weight = 1500g)

The dried bricks were finally fired in a furnace at temperatures of 900°C, 1000°C, 1100°C, and 1200°C. This firing process caused the burning out of the rice husk in the finished bricks.

The initial, original, fired, dried, and wet lengths and dry weights were noted and recorded.

# Testing methods of the Insulating Firebrick Specimens

Tests were carried out on the bricks samples to determine their shrinkage, modulus of rupture, apparent porosity, water absorption, apparent density and bulk density. These tests include:

• Shrinkage Test

The drying shrinkage, firing shrinkage and the total shrinkage were calculated for each test specimen using the following formulae stated in [3,4]:

% Average Drying Shrinkage = 
$$\frac{\text{OriginalLength} - \text{DryLength}}{\text{OriginalLength}} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

% Average Firing Shrinkage = 
$$\frac{\text{DryLength} - \text{FiredLength}}{\text{DryLength}} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

% Total Shrinkage = 
$$\frac{\text{OriginalLength} - \text{FiredLength}}{\text{OriginalLength}} \times 100\%$$
 (3)

% Volume change = 
$$\frac{\text{Original Volume} - \text{Final Volume}}{\text{Original Volume}} \times 100\%$$
 (4)

• Water Absorption, Bulk Density, Apparent Density, and Apparent Porosity Tests

The test specimens were dried to ensure water loss, and later fired in the electric furnace. Their fired weights were measured and recorded. They were allowed to cool and then immersed in water contained in a beaker and their weights noted. After sometime, the specimens were removed after which, their soaked weights were measured and recorded. The respective bulk density, apparent density, apparent porosity and percentage water absorption were calculated using the formulae given in [3,5]:

Bulk density 
$$= \frac{D}{W-S} \left( \frac{g}{cm^3} \right)$$
 (5)

Apparent Density 
$$= \frac{D}{D-S} \left( \frac{g}{cm^3} \right)$$
 (6)

Porosity 
$$= \frac{W - D}{W - S} \times 100\%$$
(7)

% Water Absorption = 
$$\frac{W - D}{D} \times 100\%$$
 (8)

where: D = Weight of fired specimen, S = Weight of fired specimen in water, W = Weight of soaked specimen suspended in air.

## • Modulus of Rupture

Modulus of rupture tests were performed. Here, each test specimen was dried, fired and placed alongside one another on the bearing edges of the compression machine. The loads at which the specimens failed were calculated from the relation given by [5]:

Modulus of Rupture 
$$= \frac{3 \text{wl}}{2 \text{bt}^2} \left( \frac{\text{kgf}}{\text{cm}^2} \right)$$
 (9)

where: l - The distance between bearing edges in centimeters, b - Width of the specimen in centimeters, t - Thickness of the specimen, w - Load at which the specimen failed.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results obtained for the shrinkage tests are presented in Tables 2 while the physical analysis carried out on the samples yielded the results summarized in Tables 3.

 $(\mathcal{G}$ 

Tuble 2. Shirinkage values at various temperature regimes								
Sample	Original	Dry	Fried	Dry	Fired	Total	Temperature	
code	length (cm)	length	length	shrinkage	shrinkage	shrinkage,	(° <b>C</b> )	
couc	iengui (em)	(cm)	(cm)	%	%	%	$(\mathbf{C})$	
А	5	4.84	4.7470	3.2	1.921	5.06	900	
В	5	4.87	4.7110	2.6	3.264	5.78	900	
С	5	4.88	4.7315	2.4	3.043	5.37	900	
D	5	4.88	4.5345	2.4	7.079	9.079	900	
E	5	4.85	4.8035	2.8	1.162	1.162	900	
А	5	4.88	4.6905	2.4	3.883	6.19	1000	
В	5	4.85	4.6235	3.0	4.670	7.53	1000	
С	5	4.90	4.6595	2.0	4.908	6.81	1000	
D	5	4.88	4.6135	2.4	5.461	7.73	1000	
E	5	4.85	4.6960	3.0	3.175	6.08	1000	
А	5	4.87	4.4570	2.6	8.480	10.86	1100	
В	5	4.86	4.5160	2.8	7.078	9.68	1100	
С	5	4.88	4.3365	2.4	11.137	13.27	1100	
D	5	4.86	4.4735	2.6	7.952	10.53	1100	
Е	5	4.89	4.5360	2.2	7.239	9.28	1100	
А	5	4.82	4.5450	3.6	5.705	9.10	1200	
В	5	4.81	4.5500	3.8	5.405	9.00	1200	
С	5	4.80	4.5395	4.0	5.427	9.21	1200	
D	5	4.84	4.4980	3.2	7.066	10.04	1200	
Е	5	4.90	4.6445	2.0	5.214	7.11	1200	

Table 2. Shrinkage values at various temperature regimes

Table 3. Physical Analysis at various temperature regimes

Sample code	Modulus of rupture $(lxgf/am^2)$	% Apparent	% Water absorption	Apparent density $(\alpha/am^3)$	Bulk density $(\alpha/am^3)$	Thermal conductivity, K	Temperature (°C)
•	(kgi/ciii )	100.07	102.17	(g/cm)		(W/IIIK)	000
A	1.00	100.97	102.17	2.49	0.99	0.012	900
В	0.79	102.88	102.28	2.49	0.99	0.009	900
С	2.22	105.17	110.90	2.42	0.95	0.007	900
D	10.24	105.95	112.52	2.42	0.95	0.003	900
E	2.27	102.11	104.89	2.53	0.98	0.011	900
Α	1.30	100.04	102.12	2.46	0.98	0.031	1000
В	5.73	102.68	105.52	2.39	0.97	0.015	1000
С	4.08	104.64	109.93	2.38	0.95	0.009	1000
D	15.04	104.02	112.91	2.35	0.94	0.004	1000
Е	4.71	95.09	90.70	2.48	1.05	0.034	1000
A	7.32	90.49	82.62	2.42	1.10	0.029	1100
В	9.85	92.99	86.93	2.37	1.07	0.025	1100
C	4.43	94.48	89.70	2.37	1.06	0.017	1100
D	18.23	103.03	106.28	2.33	0.97	0.005	1100
E	5.19	86.43	76.11	2.45	1.14	0.036	1100
А	9.20	94.68	89.68	2.35	1.06	0.020	1200
В	9.54	94.71	89.96	2.35	1.06	0.012	1200
С	18.40	98.22	96.19	2.29	1.02	0.007	1200
D	22.57	98.25	96.53	2.29	1.02	0.006	1200
E	4.61	88.79	79.85	2.38	1.11	0.038	1200



Figure 1. Variation of Modulus of rupture and Thermal conductivity with Temperature for optimum composition sample (Sample D)

#### Modulus of Rupture

At 900°C, sample B showed the lowest strength of 0.79 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> while sample D showed the highest strength of 10.24 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>. At 1000°C, sample A, showed the lowest strength of 1.30 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>, while D showed the highest strength of 15.04 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>. At 1100°C, E showed the lowest strength of 5.19 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> while sample D showed the highest strength of 18.23 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>, and at 1200°C, samples E and D showed the lowest strength of 4.61 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> and highest strength of 22.57 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> respectively.

It may be said that the lower the percentage of diatomite and the higher the percentage of rice husk in a sample, the higher its strength, and vice-versa. This is because diatomite creates empty pores in the firebrick matrix, while the rice husk leaves ash in the pores created. This left over ash is still capable of supporting and transferring load. The reduction in the number and size of pores of the brick samples due to increased rice husk percentage induce high transfer of load to the brick, thereby increasing their modulus of rupture.

The rising trend in the values of modulus of, rupture may also, not be unconnected



with the formation of ceramic bonds which increases cold strength of a refractory. Modulus of rupture is observed to increase with increasing firing temperature. This, according to [6], is due to the replacing of the glassy matrix formed on cooling, with crystals that tend to interlock with each other giving rise to a strongly bonded mass, considerably increasing the refractoriness under load.

## **Apparent Porosity**

At 900<sup>°C</sup>, sample A showed the lowest porosity of 100.97% while sample D showed the highest value of 105.95%. At 1000°C sample E showed the lowest porosity of 95.09% while sample C showed the highest porosity of 104.64%. At  $1100^{\circ C}$ , sample E shown the lowest porosity of 86.43% while D showed the highest porosity of 103.03%. Sample E showed the lowest porosity value of 88.79%, while sample B showed the highest porosity of 98.25%, at 1200°C.

Equal ratio of rice husk to diatomite showed least values of apparent porosity at all temperatures, but higher percentages of rice husk in the samples at all temperatures lead to higher porosity. According to [1], this is due to the burning out of rice husk during firing that leaves plenty of pores in a brick, thus making a brick porous, and a better heat insulator.

It is also noted that as temperature increases, the apparent porosity decreases. A possible explanation that could be given for this is that high temperatures tend to make the pores shrink.

## Water Absorption

At 900°C, water absorption values of samples A and D were 102.17% and 112.52% respectively. At 1000°C, sample E showed the lowest value of 90.70% while sample D showed the highest value of 112.91%. At 1100°C, samples D and E showed the highest and lowest values of 106.28% and 76.11% respectively. Sample E showed the lowest value of 79.85% while sample D showed the highest value of 96.53%, at 1200°C.

It follows that as porosity increases, the rate of water absorption also increases.

As temperature increases, percentage water absorption rate decreases. This goes to buttress the argument that temperature increase leads to the shrinking of pores, which subsequently leads to the disappearing of the many pores the result of this, is that less water is absorbed by the moulds. All compositions showed high porosity due to the presence of rice husk and diatomite resulting to high degree of water absorption.

## **Bulk Density**

At 900°C, the bulk density of samples A and B showed the highest value of 0.99g/cm<sup>3</sup> while samples D showed the lowest values of 0.95g/cm<sup>3</sup>. At 1000°C sample E showed the highest value of 1.05g/cm<sup>3</sup> while D showed the lowest value of 0.94g/cm<sup>3</sup>. At 1100°C sample E showed the highest value of 1.14g/cm<sup>3</sup> while D showed the lowest value of 0.97g/cm<sup>3</sup>. At 1200°C sample E showed the highest value of 1.11g/cm<sup>3</sup> while C and D showed the lowest value of 1.02g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

The higher and lower percentages of rice husk and diatomite respectively in the samples led to lower values of bulk density. This is because rice husk burns out, allowing the volatile matter to escape, leading to weight drop and thus reducing its density.

## **Apparent Density**

At 900°C, samples C and D showed the lowest values of apparent density of  $2.42g/cm^3$  while sample B showed the highest value of  $2.53g/cm^3$ . At 1000°C, sample D showed the lowest value of  $2.35g/cm^3$ , while E showed the highest value of  $2.48g/cm^3$ . At 1000°C, samples D and E showed the lowest and highest values of  $2.33g/cm^3$  and  $2.45g/cm^3$  respectively. At 1200°C samples C and D showed the lowest values of  $2.29g/cm^3$  each while sample E showed the highest value of  $2.38g/cm^3$ .

The obtained results prove that the higher the percentage of rice husk and the lower the percentage of diatomite in the brick samples, the lower their apparent density, in agreement with the conclusion given above.

## Shrinkage

At 900°C, sample E showed the least shrinkage value of 3.93%, while sample D showed the highest value of 9.31%. At 1000°C, samples E showed the value of 6.08% while sample D showed 7.73%. At 1100°C sample E showed 9.2% while C showed the highest value of 13.27%. At 1200°C, sample E showed the least shrinkage of 7.11% while D showed the highest shrinkage age of 10.04%



It can be said that the higher the percentages of rice husk and the lower the percentages of diatomite, the higher the shrinkage.

## Thermal Conductivity

Sample D had the lowest value of thermal conductivity of 0.003w/m.K, while sample A had the highest value of 0.012w/m.k at 900°C. At 1000°C, sample C and E had the lowest values of 0.004w/m.K and 0.034 w/m.K, respectively. Samples D showed the lowest value of 0.005w/m.K while E showed the highest value of 0.036w/m.K at 1100°C, at 1200°C, sample D showed the lowest value of 0.007w/m.K while E had the highest value of 0.038w/m.K

The results show that higher percentages of rice husk and lower percentages of diatomite induce low thermal conductivity to the samples. This is as a result of the increase of air volume obtained by the burning of the rice husk, a process which leads to pores forming within the samples to make them poor thermal conductors and hence, good backup insulators. From the results, it can be deduced that thermal conductivity decreases with decrease in density and increase in brick porosity.

#### Conclusions

Though the combination of rice husk and diatomite from the investigation carried out is suitable for use in the production of insulating firebricks, the quantity of diatomite must be kept as low as possible for optimum results. The relevance of diatomite in the composition is predicated on the fact that it improves the modulus of rupture of the formed brick, which is desirable in foundry applications.

Samples A - E are all insulating firebricks that can withstand temperatures ranging from 900°C to 1200°C and above. The highly porous structure of these bricks make them suitable for back up insulation since the air which fill the pores act as an insulator. The porosity of the samples in all compositions exceeded the standard 75% value for insulating firebricks as stated by [7].

Sample D gave the best results in terms of strength and thermal conductivity and its mixing ratio is therefore best suitable for use as a backup insulating firebrick.

Comparing the results of apparent porosity (88.79-98.25%), thermal conductivity

(0.007-0.038w/mK) and modulus of rupture (4.61-22.57kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>) at 1200°C obtained from this work, with that of 61.83-95.93%, 0.005-0.134w/m K, and 4.26-19.10kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>) by [1], at the same temperature, it can be concluded that the combination of rice husk and diatomite improved the properties of the insulating firebricks.

Having observed from the results of this work that addition of diatomite improves modulus of rupture significantly in the insulating firebrick composition, and that this improvement trend increases with decreasing quantity of diatomite, it is imperative that the optimal value of diatomite in insulating firebricks composition be determined. Further work is therefore recommended in this regard.

#### References

- 1. Ugheoke B. I., Onche E. O., Namessan N. O., Asikpo G. A., Property Optimization of Kaolin Rice Husk Insulating Fire Bricks, LEJPT, 2006, 9, p. 167-178.
- 2. Adebayo A. A., Tukur L., *Adamawa State in Map*, Paraclete Publishers, Yola, p. 1-11, 1999.
- Chesters J. H., *Refractories: Production and properties*, Iron and Steel Institute, London, p. 24-25, 1973.
- 4. Norsker H., *The Self-reliant Potter: Refractories and Kilns*, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn Braunsweig/Weisbaden, 1987.
- 5. Chesti A. R., *Refractories; production and properties*, Iron and Steel Institute, London, p. 24-65, 1986.
- 6. Khanna O. P., *Material Science and Metallurgy*, Dhanpat Rai Publications, New Delhi, 2005.
- Avallone E. A., Baumeister III T., *Marks Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers*, 10<sup>th</sup> ed., McGraw-Hill International Edition, New York, p. 6-153, 1996.