# JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE

AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

ISSN 1990-3375

WEBSITE http://gjard.net VOLUME 45 DECEMBER 2015

# ATAYI OJ **JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE**

# AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

**ISSN 1990-3375** 

WEBSITE http://gjard.net VOLUME 15 DECEMBER 2015

## JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Printed and published by Swaziland Printing and Publishing Company Limited, P.O Box 28, Mbabane, H100, Swaziland WEBSITE http://gjard.net

### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

Professor Abraham A. Jibowo Department of Agricultural Education and Extension, University of Swaziland, P. O. Luyengo, Swaziland

### EDITORIAL ADVISERS

Professor Emeritus David Freeman, Department of Sociology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, U. S. A.

Professor Israel O. Obisesan, Department of Plant Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

Professor A. I. Essien, Department of Animal Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

Professor T.O. Oseni, Department of Horticulture, University of Swaziland, Luyengo, Swaziland

## **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

The *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development* focuses on advancing knowledge in the various areas of agriculture and rural development including crops, livestock, soils and water, agricultural extension, education, economics, home economics and other disciplines interested in sharing knowledge for the development of agriculture and the rural sector. The Journal therefore publishes peer reviewed research based articles, reviews, theory construction, new experimental and methodological techniques, and significant field experiences.

Swaziland Printing & Publishing

ii

#### **GUIDELINES T**

The Journal of Ag research, reviews, ques and significat be typed double sp not exceed 15 pag should be submitte Department of Ag Luvengo, Swazila

Papers should be sumame in bold s institutional affili corresponding au methodology, may results of statistica main recommend should be follow problem, literati hypotheses if any. collection, validit technique, numbe should present da should derive fro recommendations introduction, ma recommendations aligned to the left letter of subsection indented but by sl should be written numerals should b titles should be ca tables, and figure References within publication, for ex example, Local le Adeola, et al. (200 text, which should multiple authors s researchers got m journals should be should be in italic should be amended

# EFFECTS AND CONSTRAINTS ASSOCIATED WITH ICT USE BY YAM FARMERS IN BENUE STATE, NIGERIA

**Tsado, J. H., M. Ibrahim, O. J. Ajayi, P. Fatoki, P. and J. Momo** Federal University of Technology Minna, Niger State, Nigeria. Corresponsing author's cell phoe number: +2348065268096 Corresponsing authoe's e mail addres: jacobtsado2007@yahoo.com

#### ABSTRACT

The study examined the effects and constraints associated with the use of ICT facilities by yam farmers in Benue State, Nigeria. The objectives of the study were to describe the demographic characteristics of the farmers, identify the determinants of ICT use and analyze the effects of ICT on the output of yam farmers. Data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The results showed that majority (68.3%) of the farmers were within the active and innovative age. Majority (71.25%) were married and have one form of education or the other. Most of the farmers (67.5%) used mobile phones for communication. The respondents perceived all the constraints identified as severe with the exceptions of lack of confidence and lack of interest. Quantity of yam sett, labour and access to ICT facilities were significant determinants of yam output (there is no where in the body of the the work where "Decision to use ICT" stand as the dependent variable. Rather, Yam farmers however, have room to increase their output by it is "Yam Output"). increasing their fertilizer and quantity of staking materials (these recommendations are not from your findings. Include recommendations from the findings). Yam yield after the use of ICT was significantly higher than before ICT use with z-value of 3.33, as such it can be concluded that there is a significant relationship between ICT use and yam farmers productivity. Include some z scores, r squared. End with a major recommendation.

Key words Capital letters: Effects, constraints, ICT use, yam farmers

#### INTRODUCTION

Yam is a fundamental cash and food crop grown in many parts of the country and around the world. Nigeria accounted for about 70% of the total production of yam, while West Africa accounts for about 95% of yam production globally. It is in the class of roots and tubers, a staple of the West African diet, which provides some 200 calories of energy per capital daily. Ayanwuyi *et al.* (2011) stated that yam (*Dioscorea spp.*) is among the oldest recorded food crops, and ranks second to cassava in the study of carbohydrate in West Africa. It is a principal source of food in other tropical regions including East Asia, Africa, South America, South East Asia (including China). Six species are considered the chief edible yams of the tropics, namely white yam, watey yam, yellow yam, (name the six and possible put their botanical names) Yam tubers are edible in boiled, fried, roasted or pounded forms

22

and could be chipp fieed and industrial s

Information and co information dissen (Meera et al., 2004; information has n information at a rela agricultural extensi sectors of agricultucomputers as databund linking farmers well to aid farmers 2001).

Nowadays, informa wider but targete among farmers an mactises in farmin among themselves, efficiency. In Nige (twiously will take 2007).

the delivery of bas (1996). Recently alr (2005) pointed out to agricultural inpucompetitors. Ani (2006) competitors. Ani (2006) competitors. Ani (2006) competitors and a do constraints associated themselve and prevconstraints associated themselve and prevconstraints from being to

terrent constraints territies are sophis towever, shown that terrase of these con-

### BY YAM

ICT facilities by to describe the of ICT use and analysed using (68.3%) of the ere married and *mobile phones* ed as severe with sett, labour and s no where in the variable. Rather, their output by endations are not d after the use of s such it can be nd yam farmers dation.

y and around the hile West Africa ots and tubers, a ergy per capital oldest recorded est Africa. It is a a, Africa, South the chief edible six and possibly pounded forms

and could be chipped, dried and mashed into yam flour. It also has potential for livestock feed and industrial starch manufacture (Ref).

Information and communication technology is now been used (in all matters involving information dissemination, processing, storage, and retrieval) by virtually every sector Meera *et al.*, 2004; Okwu and Iorkae, 2011). Processing, storage, transfer, and retrieval of information has now been made easy with the use of ICT facilities which access information at a relatively high speed and more accurately. ICT has high capacity for use in pricultural extension and are increasingly being used in many ways and in the various information of agriculture for purposes like sourcing for inputs, record keeping (i.e. using imputers as database for day to day happenings on the farm), sourcing for viable market, and linking farmers with useful information. ICT can also be used during workshops as a different to aid farmers' assimilation of the improved techniques being taught (Onumadu, 2011).

sowadays, information dissemination depends on computers and internet network to reach wider but targeted audience, and thereby create awareness of production information mong farmers and enhance widespread dissemination of improved techniques and mong themselves, as they share both ideas and challenges to improve their farming ficiency. In Nigeria, the information technology approach is gradually spreading and twously will take time to be fully integrated into the agricultural system (Adesope *et al.*, 107).

delivery of basic services and enhance local development opportunities (Gurstein, 3). Recently almost all aspects of rural life have increased in their use of ICT. Ozor biometer of the supply agricultural inputs, improved technology, and agricultural credit, market price and petitors. Ani (2007) summarized the role of ICT in extension as follows: faster access expert knowledge and information, faster and more efficient delivery of information, re relevant and adopted content and dissemination of information to people hitherto reached and a deeper geographic penetration, especially to rural areas. ICT use in culture plays a vital role in agricultural extension (Adedoyin, 2005), therefore, straints associated with its use will greatly affect the information flow in the agricultural information potentials in the various agricultural information reached prevent the economic potentials in the various agricultural information information fully harnessed (Ozor, 2005; Agwu and Alu, 2005)

Several constraints affect the use of ICT more specifically as most of the current ICT in the current ICT severe, shown that farmers have a rather passive attitude toward the use of ICT facilities are of these constraints (Adegbidi, 2012).

Furthermore, recent research work like that of Iorkae (2011) have shown the role of ICT in the adoption of new technologies and the slow implementation of ICT in agriculture as a result of some constraints faced by the farmers. The problems of adoption of research findings will be adequately addressed if farmers can, at least, first have access to this knowledge. ICT use in agriculture will help to minimise the constraints associated with adoption, because it will serve as a bridge between the knowledge bases and the beneficiaries (Adesope *et al.*, 2007 and Adedoyin, 2005).

Objectives of the study The objectives of this study were, to: :

- 1. describe the socio-economic characteristics of the yam farmers,;
- 2. identify the ICT facilities yam farmers mostly relied on in the study area,;
- 3. determine the effects of ICT use on yam farmers in terms of their output;
- 4. t determine the factors affecting yam farmers yield; and
- 5. examine the constraints affecting ICT use by yam farmers.

#### METHODOLOGY

Benue State is one of the States in North Central Nigeria. It is located approximately between latitude 61' 2°N to 81' 2°N and longitude 71' 2°E. Agriculture accounts for over 75% of the State's economic activities. It has a tropical climate with distinct seasons: the rainy season and dry season (Agwu and Alu 2005). The major occupation of the people is agriculture. The major agricultural produce includes: cassava, yam, fruits.. Major animals reared include cattle goat, sheep.

Multi-stage sampling technique was adopted for this study; two Local Government Areas (Oturpko and Gboko) were purposively selected, because the people are predominantly yam farmers. Four communities were also purposively selected from the two Local Governments Areas owing to their large scale production of yam. Twenty yam farmers were randomly selected from each of the four communities, to give a total of 80 sampled yam farmers.

#### Data collection and analysis

A well-structured questionnaire/interview schedule was administered through trained enumerators, for eliciting relevant information from the respondents. The analytical tools used include descriptive statistics (such as mean, frequency count and percentages) Likertype scale was used to ascertain the major constraints to ICT use as perceived by yan farmers, a list of possible constraints to ICT use was compiled and respondents were asket to indicate the perceived constraints on a four point Likert-type scale of very severe, severe undecided and not severe, scaled 4 to 1. Ordinary least square (OLS) regression and Z-test were also used as inferential statistics.

The implicit form of the  $M = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ Where Y = Output (kg = vam sett (N) II = labour (N)III. = fertilizer (N) T = staking materials  $T_{n} = ICT$  use (N) = Random Error Ten Where y, x, ... X, is as ( m = constant term h = coefficients m = Random error term The linear function was  $m = b_1 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3$ where y, x, ... x, is as d m = constant term h. = coefficients m = Random error term Wilson E-lest- $T = X_1 - X_2$ SEX

SEX = Standard  $X_i$  =mean of fir  $X_2$  =mean of se ole of ICT in culture as a of research cess to this ociated with ses and the

proximately nts for over seasons: the he people is ijor animals

ment Areas dominantly two Local am farmers 80 sampled

igh trained lytical tools ges) Likertred by yam were asked ere, severe, h and Z-test

The implicit form of the linear function used is expressed as  $Y = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ . The error term should not be part of the implicit function. Where Y = Output (kg) $X_1 = yam sett (N)$  $X_2 = labour (N)$  $X_3 =$ fertilizer (N)  $X_4 =$ staking materials (N)  $X_5 = ICT use (N)$ ei = Random Error Terms Where y,  $x_1 \dots x_5$  is as defined above b = constant term  $\mathbf{b}_1 \dots \mathbf{b}_5 = \text{coefficients}$ ei = Random error term The linear function was the lead equation for this study and its explicit form is given by:  $y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_4 x_{4+} b_5 x_5 + e_1$ Where y,  $x_1 \dots x_5$  is as defined above b = constant term  $\mathbf{b}_{1}\dots\mathbf{b}_{5} = \text{coefficients}$ ei = Random error term Also, t-test:  $T = X_1 - X_2$ SEX Where, SEX = Standard error

 $X_1$  = Standard error  $X_1$  = mean of first sample  $X_2$  = mean of second sample

25

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Describe each Table first before inserting the Table

Table 1: Socio-economic and personal characteristics of respondents	

Variables	Frequency	Percentages
Age		
30 years and less	17	21.25
31-40 years	20	25
41-50 years	18	22.5
51 and above	25	31.25
Access to ICT		
Had Access	79	98.75
No access	1	1.25
Access to extension serv	vice	
Had Access	21	26.25
No access	59	73.75
Farming experience		
1-10	8	10
11-20	11	13.75
31-40	56	70
Above 41 years	5	6.25
Length of exposure to I	СТ	
2-4 years	62	77.5
5-6 years	5	6.25
7-8 years	7	8.75
10 years & above	6	7.5

The findings in Tab 51 years, it is obviou can positively affect the respondents had a This implies that in area, since majority their use as accessibi with that of Onumad extent affects their ut not have access to ex extension agents. Th facilities, since exte technologies, becaus ICTs such as e mails, 1 the findings of Okwu was directly linked t revealed that 70% of implying that majorit could directly or indir

Table 1 also shows the years, indicating low (2007), ICT made a accounted for their fire responsible for the p numerous constraints

### Table 2: Distribution of t

ICT Tools
Computer
Radio
Tielevision
Wideo Player
Mubile phone
listemet
Source: Field survey, 2015

Source: Field survey, 2015

26

The findings in Table 1 show that majority (68.5%) of the respondents were less that 51 years, it is obvious that the yam farmers were still in their active age and consequentl can positively affect their extent of ICT usage. Table 1 also reveal that majority (98.75%) of the respondents had access to ICT tools, while (1.75%) did not have access to ICT facilities. This implies that information and communication facilities were available in the study area, since majority claimed they had access to them. This will directly or indirectly affect their use as accessibility is directly associated with utilization. This finding is in agreement with that of Onumadu, (2011) who pointed out that accessibility of ICT facilities to a large extension agents. This will negatively affect the ability of the respondents in using ICT facilities, since extension is the major means through which the farmers learn new technologies, because extension agents can teach farmers the use of some categories of CTs such as e mails, record keeping through the use of computers. This result is in line with the findings of Okwu and Iorkaa (2011) who affirmed that farmers use of new information the findings of Okwu and Iorkaa (2011) who affirmed that farmers use of new information the findings of Okwu and Iorkaa (2011) who affirmed that farmers use of new information the findings of the yam farmers had work experience of between 31- 40 years, and other their extent of ITC usage.
--

Table 1 also shows that a majority (77.5%) have being exposed to ICT for between 2-4 errs, indicating low exposure of Yam farmers to ICT. According Adebayo and Adesope (2007), ICT made a significant entry into Nigeria around year 2000, which probably counted for their finding of low exposure to ICT. The same reason cannot however, be sponsible for the present findings, the present situation could be as a result of the present situat

TT Teals	rened upo			
1 10015	Frequency	Percentages	Rank	
Computer	1	1.25	3 <sup>rd</sup>	
Radio	24	30	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
Television	1	1.25	3 <sup>rd</sup>	
Video Player	-		$5^{\mathrm{ft}}$	
Withile phone	54	67.5	1 <sup>st</sup>	
Internet	-		5 <sup>ft</sup>	
Field survey, 2015				

Suble 2: Distribution of the respondents by ICT facility mostly relied upon

tages

25

.5

.25

.75

25

.25

.75

.75

25

.5

25

75

5

The entries in Table 2 indicate that the yam farmers relied mainly on mobile phones and radio which ranked 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respectively; this result reflects the low level of computer knowledge of the yam farmers as majority only relied on the common ICT facilities. This implies that a lot still needed to be done for farmers to have more access to other ICT facilities. This finding is in line with that of Adebayo and Adesope (2007) who pointed out that there was grossly under-utilization of ICT facilities by farmers, and that there is still a lot to be done if the Nigerian agricultural sector must meet up with the global challenges of ICT.

Perception of constraint	S		
	Mean	Remark	
Constraints		20	
Financial constraint	3.81	SC	
x 1 6	3.16	SC	
Lack of awareness		2100	
Lack of confidence	2.1	NSC	
	3 43	SC	
Dynamic nature of ICT	5.15		
le contra le contra en contra le co	3.56	SC	
Inadequate power supply			
a stanity of ICT facilities	3.45	SC	
Complexity of ICT lacinates		CC.	
Language harrier	3.16	SC	
Language barrier	2.00	SC	
Skill on how to apply ICT in yam farming	3.00	50	
	3.61	SC	
How to retrieve information	5.01		
	2.4	NSC	
Lack of interest			

Table 3: Perception of the constraints faced by yam farmers in utilizing ICT

SC-Severe Constrain; NSC-Not a Severe Constrain;

Source: Field surveys, 2014

Entries in Table 3 reveal that the respondents were faced with several severe constrains like: financial constraint, lack of awareness, dynamic nature of ICT facilities, inadequate power supply, complexity of ICT facilities, language barrier, lack of skill on the application of ICT to agriculture, and lack of skill on how to retrieve information via ICT facilities. On the other hand, lack of confidence and lack of interest were not perceived as severe limitations to the use of ICT by yam farmers. This shows that majority of the constraints under consideration were major limitations to use of ICT.

The result in Table 4 in R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.850 which is explained by variable use included in the most some very important reveals that there is a p labour, fertilizers, stak implied that if these seconditions being equa

Table 4: Regression estin

Variables
Constant
Yam sett
Labour
Fertilizer
Staking materials
Rate of ICT use
$R^2 = 0.850$
= significant at 1% lev = significant at 10% leve = Not significant Source: Field survey, 201
Table 5: Z-test of the Ya
N=80
Before Adoption

After Adoption

Table 5 show weld before and after the respondents' out realized a higher outp

28

ile phones and el of computer facilities. This is to other ICT who pointed out at there is still a al challenges of The result in Table 4 indicates that the linear functional form which is the lead equation has  $\mathbb{R}^2$  value of 0.850 which implies that about 85.0% of the variable in yield of yam production is explained by variable  $X_1$ - $X_5$ (yam sett, labour, fertilizer, staking materials and rate of ICT use included in the model therefore, the remaining 15.0% is as a result of non-inclusion of some very important explanatory variables as well as errors in estimation. The result reveals that there is a positive and significant relationship between the variables (yam sett, labour, fertilizers, staking materials and ICT use) and the output of yam farmers. Also it implied that if these sets of inputs increase the output will increase correspondingly, other conditions being equal.

Variables	Coefficient	<b>T-value</b>
Constant	-9735.579	-1.523 <sup>Ns</sup>
Yam sett	2.964	5.496***
Labour	2.118	2.736***
Fertilizer	0.773	0.920 <sup>Ns</sup>
Staking materials	-1.329	-0.605 <sup>Ns</sup>
Rate of ICT use	10756.840	1.995*
$R^2 = 0.850$		

Table 4: Regression estimates of factors affecting yield of yam

= significant at 1% level of probability

significant at 10% level of probability

N=Not significant

Source: Field survey, 2015

# Table 5: Z-test of the Yam farmers' output before and after ICT use

N=80	Mean	Z- value	Sig. level
Before Adoption	64202.5	3.33	000***
Adoption	83718.75		

in Table 5 show the result of Z-test that there was a significant mean difference in before and after the use of ICT, which implied a positive and significant difference in respondents' output at 1% level of significance. This meant that the respondents before a higher output when they utilized ICT compared with when they did not use ICT.

e constrains like nadequate power pplication of ICT facilities. On the evere limitations constraints under

#### CONCLUSION

The study has showed that ICT use by yam farmers was profitable in terms of the yam output of farmers. output. The Z-test result revealed that there was a significant difference in the output of the respondents before and after the used ICT at 1% level of probability. The study revealed that the respondents were computer non-literates as only (1.25%) relied on computers. From the result it can be concluded that the use of ICT had a significant effect on yam farmer's output and the constraints under consideration were major limiting factors to the respondents' use of ICT at different level except lack of confidence on the use of ICT facilities and lack of interest which the respondents claim were not severe constraints.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study the following recommendations were made:

- 1. Provision of infrastructural facilities for example, stable electricity is immensely needed to motivate farmers to use ICT tools.
- 2. Rural dwellers should be empowered finically to be able to use and have access to ICT facilities
- 3. Opportunity should be given to interested private persons and non-governmental organisations to invest in the area of communication in the study area to enhance economic viability of the area and advance the use of ICT by the rural dwellers, especially teyam farmers.

#### REFERENCES

- Adebayo, E. L. & O. M. Adesope (2007). Awereness, Access and Usage of Information and Communication Technologies Between Female Researchers and Extensionists. *International Journal of Education* Vol. 3 No.1, pp. 85-93.
- Adedoyin, S.F (2005). Agricultural Extension in Nigeria. Published by Agricultural Extension Society of Nigeria. Agricultural and Rural Management Training Institute (ARMTI) Ilorin. Pp. 145-151.
- Adegbidi, T. (2012). Determinant of ICT Use by Rice Farmers in Benin: From the Perception of ICT Characteristics to the Adoption of the Technology. Unpublished M.Sc thesis of the Department of Economics Socio-Anthropology and communication, Faculty of Agricultural Science University of Abomey-Calavi. Benin. Vol. 2 No.11, pp. 273-284.
- Adesope, O.M., Asiabaka C.C., and Matthews-Njoku E.C. (2007). Problems Affecting The use of Information Technologies as Percieved by Extension Managers in Nigeria. In: A.C. Agumagu *et al.*, (eds) *Contemporary Issues in Agricultural Extension and Development Studies*. Series one Omoku: Molsyfem United Services.

Agwu, A.E., Alu J.I. State, Nigeria. F of Nigeria.

Ani, A.O. (2007). A Development. A Consult. No. 27

Ayanwuyi, (2011). Ya Research | Revie

Dhaka and Chaya (20 Changing Ari-ru Vol.10 No3.

Gurstein, M.(2003). I Digital Divide. R

Meera, S.N.; Jhamatan Technology in A from India. Agric pp. 20.

Glowu, O.J. and Iorkaa, communication T Government Are Africa. Vol. 13, No

Omumadu, F.N (2011). Technologies An Anambra State, N No.1.

Chan, N. (2005). "Cha Technology (ICT) Paper Presented a Society of Nigeri Nigeria.

30

rms of the yam ficant difference probability. The ...25%) relied on inficant effect on miting factors to n the use of ICT constraints.

hade: bity is immensely

nd have access to

non-governmental ly area to enhance the rural dwellers,

of Information and and Extensionists.

ed by Agricultural nagement Training

in Benin: From the hology. Unpublished Anthropology and of Abomey-Calavi

oblems Affecting The Managers in Nigeria *Altural Extension* and rvices.

- Agwu, A.E., Alu J.I. (2005). Farmers Percieved Constraints to yam production in Benue State, Nigeria. Proceedings of the 39<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria.
- Ani, A.O. (2007). Agricultural Extension: A pathway for Sustainable Agricultural Development. Apani Publications. A Division of Apani Business and Research Consult. No. 27 Bagaruwa Road, constain Kaduna Nigeria.
- Ayanwuyi, (2011). Yam production and associated constraints. OPEN. ACCESS. © Research | Reviews | Publications, 2011 http://www.rrpjournals.com/.
- **Dhaka** and Chaya (2010). Farmers Experience with ICTS on Transfer of Technology in Changing Ari-rural Environment. *Indian Research Journal Extension Education. Vol.10 No3.*
- Gurstein, M.(2003). Effective Use: A Community Information Strategy Beyond the Digital Divide. Retrievefrom *http/firstmonday.org/issues812/Gurstein/index. Html*.
- Meera, S.N.; Jhamatani, A; and Rao, D.U.M. (2004) Information and Communication Technology in Agriculture Development: A Comparative Analysis of three project from India. Agricultural Research and Extension Network, Network paper No.135, pp. 20.
- O.J. and Iorkaa, T.I (2011). An Assessment of Farmer's Use of New Information and communication Technology as Source of Agricultural Information in Ushongo Local Government Area, Benue State Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*. Vol. 13, No.2.
  - Technologies Among Farmers in Orumba South Local Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research (JASR). Vol. 11* No.1.
- **Technology** (ICT) in the Delivery of Agricultural Extension Services" Conference **Paper** Presented at the 10<sup>th</sup> Annual National Conference of Agricultural Extension **Society** of Nigeria (AESON) between 14<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup> June in Badeggi, Niger State, **Nigeria**.

#### ASSESSMENT OF SMALL-SCALE FARMERS WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN RECEIVING NEW AGRICULTURAL INFORMATION IN PAIKORO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF NIGER STATE, NIGERIA

\*Ajayi, O. J., Muhammed, Y., Tsado, J. H., Muhammad, H. U. and Muhammed, H. A. Department of Agricultural Economic and Extension Technology, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 65, Minna, Niger State.

Corresponding author's e mail address: mohd.yak@futminna.edu.ng Corresponding Author Phone number: +2348030754302

#### ABSTRACT

This study assessed small-scale farmers' willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information in Niger State, Nigeria. It aims at identifying the level of participation of small-scale farmers and their attitudes towards receiving new agriculture information in the study area. A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select respondents who were interviewed with structured questionnaire to obtained primary data Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data. Findings of the study revealed that the mean age of the respondents was 36 years, which implied that the were young and agile for agricultural production. Majority (81.4%) of the respondents were married, 70.9% had primary education, and household size was 1-5 people Respondents had high level of willingness to participate in receiving information on eradication of pests and diseases (M = 4.24), vaccination of livestock (M = 3.78) and agree chemical application (M = 3.70) which ranked  $1^{st}$ ,  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{nd}$  respectively. Some of the constraints encountered by the respondents, and their mean scores were inadequate number of Extension Agents (M = 4.61), poor infrastructural facilities (M = 4.50) and here cost of extension service delivery (M = 3.79). The chi-square result of the hypothesis testing revealed that education and income had significant relationship with respondent willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information. It was therefore recommended that more Extension Agents should be posted to the study area in order to have a greater coverage of farmers, especially in the area.

KEYWORDS: Agricultural information, respondents, participation, small-scale farmers.

#### INTRODUCTION

Small-scale farmers are the major source of agricultural production in developing nations particularly in Africa (Nagayets, 2005). According to Food and Agriculture Organization (2008), estimated 36 million small-scale farmers in Africa had access to two or least hectares of land for agricultural production. Spencer (2004) posited that 90% of all the agricultural production in Africa is derived from the output of small-scale farmers. The roles of agriculture remain significant in Nigerian economy despite the strategy

72

importance of the oil about 90% of the to agricultural output (Eff by low productivity, by accessibility cuts sma technology that keep t agricultural sectors wor information among of information, wherever change the knowledge agricultural information without due consideratii provided. Therefore, fa relevant information of efficiently.

Agwu et al. (2008) report by the Government uni-(ADP) in all the States, However, demand for ne the willingness to pay fifarmers are willing to pa Atteh (2009) arable far information through the extension services will erservice delivery. It coulprogramme, than has hith

In assessing the willingn worthy to note that weakn lack of Subject Matter Sp Village Extension Agents disseminating new agricult in their work and supervisit ends to distort information agricultural information a tence discourage rural far nformation. It was against mall-scale farmer's willing Paikoro Local Government

ON IN IA

iversity of

eiving mew te level af gricultural o select 8th imary data lings of the ed that then espondenti - 5 people mation an ) and agreesome of the inadequate 0) and him hypothesis espondenti is therefore in order m

le farmers

ping nations organization two or less % of all the armers. The he strategic

importance of the oil sector. Nigerian small-scale farmers account for the cultivation of about 90% of the total cultivable land area and producing nearly 90% of the total agricultural output (EEPC, 2003). Crop production by small-scale farmers is characterized by low productivity, typically because of the adoption of low production inputs. Limited accessibility cuts small-scale farmers off from sources of inputs, equipment and new technology that keep their output low (Danilo, 2002). Sustainability and productivity of agricultural sectors world-wide depend on the quality and effectiveness of new agricultural information among other factors. Chukwudi (2008) posited that new agricultural information, wherever it existed, consists of those services which are set up in order to change the knowledge, skills and attitude of rural farmers. For many years, new agricultural information has been made available by the Government to the rural people without due consideration given to whether the clientele really need the information being provided. Therefore, farmers' need for new agricultural information is anticipated by relevant information on technologies that will reach a desired target effectively and efficiently.

Agwu *et al.* (2008) reported that public extension is known as extension activities provided by the Government under the authority of the Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) in all the States, to cater for agricultural needs and development of rural farmers. However, demand for new agricultural information has been known through establishing the willingness to pay for the services among rural farmers. Research had shown that farmers are willing to pay for extension services (Ajayi, 2006). According to Farinde and Atteh (2009) arable farmers in Niger State are willing to pay for new agricultural information through their cooperative societies. Rural farmers' willingness to pay for extension services will enhance their participation in successful and sustainable extension service delivery. It could be a more efficient way to achieve the goals of extension programme, than has hitherto been the situation.

In assessing the willingness of rural farmers to participate in extension activities, it is worthy to note that weaknesses of most new agricultural information in Nigeria are due to ack of Subject Matter Specialist (SMS). As a result of low level of training, most of the Village Extension Agents lack the capacity to actually discharge their responsibilities of isseminating new agricultural information to rural farmers. They are not highly motivated in their work and supervision is weak. The complex line of communication is too long and ends to distort information. This has lead to deterioration in dissemination of new gricultural information and also contributed to a decline in agricultural productivity, ence discourage rural farmers' willingness to participate in paying for new agricultural information. It was against the above background that this study was conceived to assess all-scale farmer's willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information in all-scale farmer's willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information in alkoro Local Government Area of Niger State, Nigeria.

#### **Objectives of the study**

The objectives were, to:

- i. describe the socio-economic characteristics of small-scale farmers in the study area;
- ii. assess the level of involvement of respondents in receiving new agricultural information, and
- iii. identify constraints hindering respondents' participation in receiving new agricultural information in the study area.

#### Null hypothesis

The null hypothesis tested in this study was that there was no significant relationship between small-scale farmers' willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information and their socio-economic characteristics.

#### Alternative hypothesis

The alternative hypothesis was that there was a significant relationship between smallscale farmers' willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information and their socio-economic characteristics.

#### METHODOLOGY

#### Study area

This study was conducted in Paikoro Local Government Area (LGA) of Niger State Nigeria, which is one of the 25 LGAs of the State. It is on the latitude 9°26' and 9°47' North and longitude 6°38' and 7°02' East of the equator. The land mass area is 2,066 kilometres square with a total population of 158,086 (NPC, 2006). The projected population in 2014 using 3.2% growth rate was 203,391. The study area is characterized by tropical climate marked by dry and wet weather. The predominant population are the Gwaris with small fraction of Koros, Fulanis and Nupes. Agriculture is the primary occupation of the people in the study area with few engaged in civil service and artisan activities such as tailoring blacksmith, carpentry and others.

#### **Sample selection**

11

A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select respondents for this study. The first stage involved random sampling of six wards out of the eleven wards in Paikoro Local Government Area. Second stage was the stratified sampling of respondents into small-scale farmers who possessed farm size of less than two hectares. Third stage was the proportionate sampling of 40% of the respondents out of the list of 217 active farmers in both crop and livestock production, obtained from Niger State Agricultural Development Project (NSADP), to get 86 respondents for the study.

#### Data collection and analysis

Primary data was obtained directly from the respondents through interviews with the aid of a structured questionnaire. Data collected was analyzed using descriptive and inference statistics. A 5-point attitudinal measuring scale of very high (5), high (4), moderate (3).

74

(2) and very low (1) was = 15, 15/5 = 3). Calculate while below 3.0 as low.

# **Model Specification**

Chi-squared was used to investigation. The model

# **RESULTS AND DISCUS**

# Socio-economic character

Socio-economic variables farm size and others. The r respondents fall within the implied that they were in th respondents were male, wh high sense of responsibilitie school education, and 10.5 period spent in schooling. M years with a mean 10.5 year positively related to their wi new agricultural information willingness to participate in farmers, with the majority ( quarters (75.6%) had conta gricultural information, w cooperative society in the stud

e study area; w agricultural ew agricultural

nt relationship w agricultural

etween smallation and their

f Niger State. nd 9°471 North 66 kilometres ation in 2014. opical climate ris with small f the people in n as tailoring.

udy. The first aikoro Local to small-scale age was the ve farmers in Development

vith the and m nd inferential erate (3), hrm

(2) and very low (1) was also employed. The mean score for decision was 3.0(5+4+3+2+1)= 15, 15/5 = 3). Calculated mean scores of 3.0 was considered moderate, above 3.0 as high, while below 3.0 as low.

# **Model Specification**

Chi-squared was used to test the relationship between two or more samples under investigation. The model is mathematically expressed as:

> $\chi^2 = \sum \sqrt{(O-E)^2}$ E Where:  $\chi^2 = Chi Square$  $\sum$  = summation sign O = observed score E= expected score  $\sqrt{}$  = Square root

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Socio-economic variables described were age, gender, marital status, educational level, farm size and others. The result of analysis in Table 1 reveal that majority (79.1%) of the respondents fall within the age range 21 - 40 years with a mean age of 37 years, which implied that they were in their productive age range. In addition, majority (90.7%) of the respondents were male, while 81.4% were married which implied that they should have a high sense of responsibilities to carry out farming activities. Majority (70.9%) had primary school education, and 10.5% had secondary school education, with 6 years the average period spent in schooling. Majority (72.1%) had farming experience ranging from 11 - 15years with a mean 10.5 years. Oladele (2008) posited that level of education of farmers is positively related to their willingness to participate in extension services that bring about new agricultural information, and that the longer the farming experience the greater the willingness to participate in agricultural services. All the respondents were small scale farmers, with the majority (62.8%) having about one hectare of farm land. Over threequarters (75.6%) had contact with Extension Agents on the course of seeking new agricultural information, while 77.9% of the respondents were not members of any

Table 1 Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Descriptions	Frequency	Percentagen
Age (yrs)		
< 20	5	5.8
21 - 30	35	41.7
31 - 40	33	38.4
> 40	13	15.1
Sex		
Male	78	90.7
Female	8	9.3
Marital status		
Married	70	81.4
Single	9	10.6
Widowed	4	4.7
Divorced	3	3.5
Household size		
1 - 5	61	70.9
6 - 10	9	10.5
11 - 15	12	14.0
> 15	4	4.6
Education		
Non formal	16	18.6
Primary	61	70.9
Secondary	9	10.5
Farming experience		
1 - 5	18	20.9
6 - 10	6	7.0
11 – 15	62	72.1
Farm size		
0.1 - 0.5	27	31.4
0.6 - 1.0	54	62.8
1.1 – 1.5	5	5.8
Extension contact		
Had contact	65	75.6
No contact	21	24.4
<b>Cooperative association</b>		
Member	19	22.1
Not member	67	77.9
TOTAL	86	100

Source: Field Survey, 2014.

in Table 2. It reve is table farmer's level in Table 2. It reve is table (M = 3.78) and in Table 2. It reve is table (M = 3.78) and in the various new agr implies that the response is vaccination and content is included of involvement ing included packaging included packagi

Distribution of respon

A set of involvement the harvesting techniques indication of pest and dise indication of pest and dise indication of pest and dise indication of use of fa- indication on use of fa- indication on use of fa- indication of use of fa- indication of fa- indication of fa- indication of livestock indication of livestock indication and storage

scores of 3.0 was considered survey, 2014. M =

# **Constraints hindering resp**

Constraints raised by the r resented in Table 3. The condecision on its levels of being extension personnel (M = 4, ervice delivery (M = 3.79) respondents encountered in a were high. This implies that h poor, which could necessitate get the required information least constraint was low motivpoor staffing with the Extension o discharge their responsibility

# description of respondents in receiving new agricultural information

scale farmer's level of involvement in receiving new agricultural information is red in Table 2. It reveals that eradication of pest and diseases (M = 4.24), vaccination took (M = 3.78) and agro-chemical application (M = 3.70) were found to be high the various new agricultural information the respondents received in the study area. The various new agricultural information the respondents received in the study area. The various new agricultural information in the study area. Hence, respondents had the various and chemical application in the study area. Hence, respondents had the various of involvement in receiving new agricultural information that would help tackle rementioned problems. Other new agricultural information they were involved in the included packaging and storage (M = 3.57), harvesting techniques (M = 3.17), the least involvement was on new enlightenment programme on marketing (M =

Description of respondents based on their level of involvement in receiving agricultural agricultural

Level of involvement	Sum Weight	Mean Score	Remark
harvesting techniques	273	3.17	High
Endication of pest and disease	365	4 24	High
planting techniques	215	2.50	Low
acquisition on use of farm machine	263	3.06	Moderato
Enlightenment programme on marketing	197	2 29	Low
Fertilizer application	252	2.23	Moderata
-chemical application	318	3 70	Ligh
Vaccination of livestock	325	3.78	Lich
Packaging and storage	307	3.57	High

scores of 3.0 was considered moderate, above 3.0 as high, while below 3.0 as low surce: Field Survey, 2014. M = Mean score on a scale of 1 - 5

Constraints hindering respondents' participation in new agricultural information

Constraints raised by the respondents in accessing new agricultural information are resented in Table 3. The constraints were categorized using 5-point Likert scale to make a accision on its levels of being high, moderate or low. The findings revealed that inadequate extension personnel (M = 4.61), poor infrastructural facilities (M = 4.50) and high cost ervice delivery (M = 3.79) ranked 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> respectively among the constraints the espondents encountered in accessing new agricultural information in the study area and ere high. This implies that Extension Agents' ratio to farmers in the study area was very poor, which could necessitate high cost of services on the part of the small-scale farmers to get the required information from Extension Agents which could have resulted from poor staffing with the Extension Agents, and inadequate facilities for the Extension Agents of discharge their responsibility effectively.

Constraints	Sum Weight	Moon Saana	D	
Inadequate extension personnel	206	Wiean Score	Kelmark.	ACCR DUCTO
Doon in fraction to 1.6 it is	396	4.61	High	
Poor infrastructural facilities	387	4 50	Hink	
High cost of service delivery	326	2 70	Tingin	-
Infestation of nest and disasses	320	5.79	High	
D 11 Composition of pest and diseases	307	3.57	High	
Problem of marketing	302	3 51	Hich	
Inadequate credit facilities	280	2.26	ringin	
Problem of floading	280	3.26	High	
1 looleni of hooding	156	1.81	Low	-46
Low motivation from extension agents	147	1.71	Low	

Table 3. Distribution of respondents based on their constraints

Mean scores of 3.0 was considered moderate, above 3.0 as high, while below 3.0 as low **Source:** Field Survey, 2014. M = Mean score on a scale of 1 - 5

#### Test of hypothesis

The hypothesis tested using chi-squared was that there was no significant relations between willingness to participate in new agricultural information and the response socio-economic characteristics. The results are presented in Table 4 which reeducation (p = 0.009) and income (p = 0.016) of the respondents were significant probability level of 5% (p < 0.05). This implies that the level of education and income respondents influence their willingness to participate in new agricultural information

Table 4. Relationship between respondent's willingness to participate in receiving new agriculture information and their socio-economic characteristics.

Variables	DF	$X^2$ - value	P - value	Domest
Age	1	0.662	0.416	Kemark
Gender	1	0.060	0.410	Not significant
Education	1	0.000	0.807	Not significant
Household	1	2.565	0.009	Significant
Incomo	1	1.955	0.162	Not significant
meome	1	2.330	0.016	Significant

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Most of the respondents were male and married. These implied that they had a high sense responsibility and willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information will assist them to improve on their production capacities. Majority of respondents non-members of cooperative societies. There was a high level of involvement by respondents in receiving new agricultural information on how to eradicate pests diseases, and vaccination of livestock. Farmers experienced many constraints in access new agricultural information including inadequate number of extension personnel, pour infrastructure and high cost of service delivery. Furthermore, there was significarelationship between some socio-economic variables namely, education and income of respondents, and willingness to participate in receiving new agricultural information in the study area.

78

# **ECOMMENDATION**

the findings and co

- There is need for the agricultural cooperat with regards to receiv
- There is need for go extension personnel t provide the Extension delivery and increase
- It is also recommend relevant stakeholders road network that w network for efficient d

#### *EFERENCES*

- to Agricultural De Delivery in Nigeria.
- Jayi, A. O. (2006). An Ass Information using the State, Nigeria. Journ
- Chukwudi, V. (2008). Fundar
- Danilo, P. (2002). Research Systems in South East
- Engineering Export Promotio Market in Nigeria, La
- Food and Agriculture Organis Best practices in Livel -8.

Farinde, A. J. and Atteh, A. P. An Assessment of Ara information in Niger S 10(1): 63 – 75.

#### **MINIBUL ON MENDATIONS**

ek 🖩	
b	
b 11	
b i	
h i	
h	
h.	
E .	7

ent relationship he respondent ich reveals that re significant a nd income of the formation.

new agricultural

#### Remark

Vot significant Vot significant Significant Vot significant Significant

ad a high sense of l information that respondents were olvement by the adicate pests and aints in accessing a personnel, pour e was significant and income of the information in the

the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations were

There is need for the Extension Agents to encourage the respondents to participate in agricultural cooperatives, in order to get access to better extension service delivery with regards to receiving new agricultural information.

There is need for government and other extension organizations to appoint more extension personnel to enhance a greater coverage of farmers. It is also necessary to provide the Extension Agents with logistic support to facilitate efficient service delivery and increase their motivation through various incentives and packages.

It is also recommended that Government, Non-Governmental Organizations and relevant stakeholders should assist in the provision of basic infrastructures like good road network that will enhance mobility of extension staff and communication network for efficient dissemination of new agricultural information.

#### FERENCES

A. E., Dimalu, M. U. and Madukwe, M. C. (2008). Innovation System Approaches to Agricultural Development: Policy Implication for Agricultural Extension Delivery in Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 4 (1): 21 - 28.

A. O. (2006). An Assessment of Farmers' Willingness to Pay for New Agricultural Information using the Contingent Valuation Method (CVM): The Case of Oyo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 12 (2): 97 - 108.

Thukwudi, V. (2008). Fundamentals of Agricultural Extension, ABLC publisher, Nigeria.

- Danilo, P. (2002). Research Approaches and Methods for Improving Crop Animal Systems in South East Asia.
- Engineering Export Promotion Council (2003). Agricultural Machinery, Parts and Tractors Market in Nigeria, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation Statistics (2008). Asia Regional Workshop. Report on Best practices in Livelihoods Diversification, FAO Regional Office, Bangkok, Pp 1 -8.

Farinde, A. J. and Atteh, A. P. (2009). Tending Towards extension Privatization in Nigeria: An Assessment of Arable Crop Farmers' Willingness to Pay for New agricultural information in Niger State of Nigeria. I. Journal of Agricultural Food Information, 10(1): 63-75.

79

Nagayets, O. (2005). Small Farms: Current Status and Key Trends. In: The Future of Sector Farms: Proceedings of a Research Workshop, Wye, United kingdom, June 25-25

National Population Commission (2006). "Nigeria's National Census" NPC, Abuja

- Oladele, O. I. (2008). Factors Determining Farmers' Willingness to Pay for Extension Services in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Agricultural Tropica et Sub-tropica*, 41 (4): 165
- Spencer, D. (2004). The Future of Agriculture in Sub-Sahara Africa and South Association with the Small Farm. In Sustainable Food Security for All by 2020: Proceedings of an IFPRI International Conference, Sept, 4–6, 2001, Bonn, Germany.

80

# MEASREMENT TEC AGRICULTURAL EX

A.A. Jibowo Department of Agricultu University of Swaziland P.O. Luyengo Swaziland E mail: aajibowo@yahoo Cell +268 7624 3128

### ABSRACT

The purpose of this paper Extension in developing of the countries, and suggest for measuring impact, and Literature review, field ex Ministry of Agriculture in major classes of challeng community and process of farming community were enhancing impact of extense

## INRTODUCTION

# Definition and characteris

The fundamental purpose a appreciable improvements of extension, **impact** means the result of participating in exnegative, expected or unexcooperative associations in Agricultural Extension and Nigeria, 2003), an active madopting the extension innovcity. That was a positive ecoonly once in a while, as he was of geographical mobility, an mobility of change in status for cooperative association and unexpected impacts on the