
**Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology 13(1), 2024**

i



# 13 (1) APRIL, 2024



JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND

AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY

Vol. 13(1) April, 2024

ISSN: 1597 – 5460

## A Publication of School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology Federal University of Technology, Minna

**Copyright © Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology 2024**

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in any retrieval system, or translated in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the Editors

# EDITORIAL BOARD

Prof. O.J. Alabi Editor-in-Chief

Prof. M.T. Salaudeen Editorial Manager

Dr. Mrs. D. T. Ezekiel- Adewoyin Business Manager

Prof. A. M. Orire Member

Dr. M. Ibrahim Member

Dr. Mrs. K. E. Akande Member

Dr. Mrs. A. I. Oseghale Member

Dr. K. Tolorunse Member

Mrs F.B. Femi Member

Dr. B.A. Mohammed Member

Dr. Mrs. O. A. Adediran Editorial Secretary

# EDITORIAL ADVISERS

Prof. T.Z. Adama Federal University of Technology, Minna

Prof. S.L. Lamai Federal University of Technology Minna

Prof. K.M. Baba Federal University of Technology Minna

Prof. S.O.E. Sadiku Federal University of Technology, Minna

Prof. M.G.M. Kolo Federal University of Technology Minna

Prof. J. N. Nmadu Federal University of Technology Minna

Prof. B.A. Ayanwale Federal University of Technology Minna

Prof. O.O. Fagbenro Federal University of Technology, Akure

Prof. O.O. Odoguwa Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta

Prof. B.A. Raji University of Ilorin, Ilorin

Prof. O. Bello Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi

Prof. I.S.R. Butswat National Open University of Nigeria

Prof. Lawrence Etim University of Uyo

Prof. S.O. Ojeniyi Federal University of Technology, Akure

Prof. B.O. Ogunbamiro University of Maiduguri

Prof. O. Aworh University of Ibadan

Prof. I.A. Adeyemi Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho

## General Information about Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology Background:

The Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Minna, was established in the early 90s with Prof T.Z. Adama as the pioneer Editor-in-Chief. It is housed within the School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology of the Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria. The journal has been a prominent platform for disseminating research and knowledge in the field of agriculture and related technologies.

## Philosophy:

The journal operates with the philosophy of advancing agricultural research and technology through the publication of original works and review articles. It aims to foster innovation, promote sustainable agricultural practices, and contribute to the growth of the agricultural sector. By providing a scholarly space for researchers and experts, the journal plays a vital role in the academic and practical development of agriculture and related areas.

## Management:

Under various visionary leadership and editorial teams, the Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Minna, has maintained a commitment to quality and excellence. The management is dedicated to upholding rigorous editorial standards, ensuring the publication of high-impact research, and facilitating a dynamic platform for collaboration and knowledge exchange within the agricultural community.

## Future Prospects:

The journal has demonstrated remarkable growth over the years, evolving from an annual publication to a biannual one. Looking forward, there are ambitious plans to transition to a quarterly publication schedule. This strategic move reflects the journal's commitment to keeping pace with the rapid advancements in agricultural research and technology and providing a more frequent outlet for the dissemination of groundbreaking findings.

The Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Minna, aspires to expand its readership and impact, reaching an even larger community at a faster rate. By doing so, it aims to contribute significantly to the global discourse on innovative solutions to the challenges facing agriculture and related areas. The future prospects include leveraging technology to enhance accessibility, collaborating with international researchers, and maintaining a steadfast commitment to excellence in agricultural research dissemination.

The journal has a rich history, a clear philosophical foundation, effective management, and ambitious plans for the future. Its evolution from an annual to a quarterly publication is a reflects its adaptability and commitment to advancing agricultural knowledge and technology.

**EDITORIAL**

Release of Volume 13 - Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (JAAT)

The Editorial Board is delighted to unveil Volume 13 of our esteemed Journal, marking another milestone in our commitment to scholarly excellence. As we look ahead, we anticipate the release of more issues and a special edition in 2024, promising a year of enriched academic discourse and valuable insights.

We are glad to share that our online-first approach is now a permanent feature, ensuring our esteemed readership has swift access to cutting-edge research. Furthermore, we are happy to state that many of our past editions are now online. All hard copies will be made available immediately after the online version has been released. All these are aimed towards a more extensive reach and impact within the academic community.

Deepest gratitude is extended to all dedicated members of the Board for their unwavering commitment in bringing forth this edition despite the numerous work load and challenges faced in 2023/2024. The collective effort and perseverance have truly made this achievement possible. Our sincere appreciation goes out to our diligent reviewers who dedicated their time, effort and resources to ensure timely and rigorous review of submitted articles. We value your contribution in upholding scholarly standards. As we navigate a global audience, we encourage our reviewers to adopt a more critical stance by continuously improving the quality and timeliness of their reviews.

We extend our profound appreciation to the Board of School of Agriculture and Agriculture Technology, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria as well as the entire University Community, for the honour bestowed upon us to serve as Editorial Board members. We recognize the significance of this trust and assure you that we will continue to do our best.

Lastly, we express our gratitude to everyone involved in making Volume 13 a reality. We are eager to continue our journey of academic exploration and look forward to the valuable contributions that will shape the future editions of the Journal.

Warm regards, Editor-in-Chief

Prof. O.J. Alabi

# [EFFECT OF *MORINGA OLEIFERA* LEAF POWDER AS SUBSTITUTE FOR](#_bookmark0) [LYSINE ON STORAGE QUALITY PROPERTIES OF BROILER BREAST MEAT ... 1](#_bookmark0)

[\*Adamu, I.B.,1 Jiya, E.Z.,1 Ayanwale, B.A.,1 Ocheme, O.B.,2 Omojudi, M.T.,1 Olayiwola,](#_bookmark1) [K.A.,1 Hamzat, S.D.,1 and Omoniyi, J.A.1 1](#_bookmark1)

[NUTRITIONAL, THERMAL, PHYSICAL, AND ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF](#_bookmark2)

[BAMBARA GROUNDNUTS (Vigna subterranean (L) VERDC.) SEEDS](#_bookmark2) [CULTIVATED IN NIGERIA 15](#_bookmark2)

[Obomeghei, A.A.1 and Ebabhamiegbebho, P.A. 2 15](#_bookmark3)

[EFFECT OF Tetrapleura tetraptera ON BLOOD PROFILES AND NUTRIENT](#_bookmark4) [DIGESTIBILITY COEFFICIENT OF BROILER CHICKENS 35](#_bookmark4)

[INVESTIGATING THE UNEXPLORED IMPACT OF *Justicia insularis* MEAL-](#_bookmark5) [BASED ON BROILER CHICKEN PERFORMANCE AND NUTRIENT](#_bookmark5) [DIGESTIBILITY 49](#_bookmark5)

[\*Essien, C. A., Sam, I. M., Okon, U. M. and Okon, E. S 49](#_bookmark6)

[EFFECT OF AGRICULTURAL LIME, ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZER](#_bookmark7) [ON ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI POPULATION AND DIVERSITY IN](#_bookmark7) [MAIZE RHIZOSPHERE SOIL IN NIGER STATE 60](#_bookmark7)

[\*Ezekiel-Adewoyin, D.T., , Tanko, F., Tella, M., Uzoma, A.O., Ederigbe, R. and Tsado,](#_bookmark8)

[P.A 60](#_bookmark8)

[RAM FIGHTING: ASSESSING THE ETHICAL IMPLICATION OF USING](#_bookmark9) [ANIMALS FOR SPORTS AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES IN NIGERIA – A](#_bookmark9) [REVIEW 72](#_bookmark9)

[1ABU, M.H. and 2ALIMI, A. A 72](#_bookmark10)

[ASSESSMENT OF FACTORS INFLUENCING POULTRY PRODUCTION AMONG](#_bookmark11) [RURAL FARMERS IN KATCHA AND LAPAI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF](#_bookmark11) [NIGER STATE, NIGERIA 82](#_bookmark11)

[EFFECTS OF AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION ACTIVITIES ON FOOD SECURITY](#_bookmark12) [STATUS OF RURAL FARMERS IN FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY (FCT)](#_bookmark12) [ABUJA, NIGERIA 94](#_bookmark12)

[1Adejoh, S. O., 2\*Muhammed, Y., 3Arowolo, K. O. and 2Ali, D. I. 94](#_bookmark13)

[Effect of Soil Proximity to Homestead, Nitrogen Source on Nodulation and Nodule](#_bookmark14) [Activity of some Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) Varieties 111](#_bookmark14)


Tanko1\* F., Ezekiel-Adewoyin1 D.T., Uzoma1 A.O., Daniya2 E. and Bala1 A. 111

[EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PROCESSING METHODS ON NUTRIENTS AND ANTI-](#_bookmark15) [NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF LEBBECK (*Albizia lebbeck*) SEEDS 129](#_bookmark15)

[\*Adeyanju, M., Alabi, O.J., Egena, S.S.A. and Jiya, E.Z 129](#_bookmark16)

[Impact Assessment of Banana Fruit Peels Powder on M. incognita inoculated on sweet](#_bookmark17) [Pepper (Capsicum annuum L) plants 142](#_bookmark17)

[\*1Adamu, M.Y., 1Philip, P., 1Jada, M. Y and 2JBulus, J. 142](#_bookmark18)



## Effect of Soil Proximity to Homestead, Nitrogen Source on Nodulation and Nodule Activity of some Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) Varieties

**Tanko1\* F., Ezekiel-Adewoyin1 D.T., Uzoma1 A.O., Daniya2 E. and Bala1 A.** 1Department of Soil Science and Land Management, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria

2Department of Crop Production, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.

.

\*Corresponding Author’s E-mail: [f.tanko@futminna.edu.ng](mailto:f.tanko@futminna.edu.ng) Phone number: 07039754192

# ABSTRACT

*Integration of Bradyrhizobial inoculation with improved cowpea varieties is one viable option to enhance cowpea nodulation and nitrogen fixation, resulting in sustainable cowpea production. This study was conducted in a screen house to determine the effects of soil proximity to the homestead, nitrogen source on nodulation, and nodule activity of some cowpea varieties. This study involved the factorial combination of soil proximity to homestead: close and far; nitrogen source: control (no nitrogen application), application of urea (100 kg N ha-1), inoculation with Bradyrhizobium strain BR 3262, Bradyrhizobium strain BR 3267, and cowpea varieties: Kanannado, IT93K-452-1, IT97K-499-35, and IT90K-277-2, arranged in a completely randomized design and replicated three times. Results revealed that the Most Probable Number (MPN) count of the cultivated soils to cowpea varieties ranged from (9.26 - 12.27 x 106). Results show that the field's proximity to the homestead did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ from that away from the homestead. Inoculation with BR 3262 significantly (P < 0.05) improved nodule number and dry weight by 62% and 66%. Kanannado significantly increased nodulation compared to the improved varieties. Inoculation with BR 3267 had more nodules than un-inoculated control and N treatment. The result indicates that using Bradyrhizobium strain BR 3262 or BR 3267 and Kanannado variety could improve cowpea*

*nodulation. Further study should, however, be carried out under field conditions to affirm this study.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Bradyrhizobium* inoculants, Cowpea, Nitrogen, Rhizobia, Soil proximity, Varieties

# INTRODUCTION

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] is a leading grain legume crop that is grown on an estimated 12.3 million ha in Africa, with West Africa accounting for the bulk of the production on 10.6 million ha of farmlands (Kyei-Boahan *et al*., 2017). In West Africa, Niger, Nigeria, Burkina Faso, Mali, and Senegal are the leading producers of cowpea (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division (FAOSTAT, 2016). In Nigeria, it is grown on an estimated land area of over 2.8 million ha with an average yield of 914 Kg ha- 1(FAOSTSAT, 2018). It is mostly grown as sole or intercrop with cereals like millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) or sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and to a lesser extent with maize (Zea mays) (Horn and Shimelis, [2020](https://cabiagbio.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s43170-023-00159-1#ref-CR30)). It is widely grown as a major source of cheap and quality protein (food) for both rural and urban dwellers and fodder. Also, it is an essential component of the cropping systems of most farmlands in the semi-arid regions of sub-Saharan Africa (Kyei-Boahan *et al.,* 2017).

The gap between the average and potential yield of cowpeas in Nigeria is mostly due to several factors. This includes poor planting arrangement in intercrop situations that leads to shading of companion crops, low plant population, low soil fertility, inappropriate planting time, the use of traditional cowpea cultivars with low yielding potential, pest and disease attack, lack of inputs use (Kyei-Boahan *et al*., 2017). Besides, continuous cropping of the land with no external inputs has resulted in a progressive decline in the yield of cowpeas due to the mining of the soil of its nutrients (Sprent and James, 2007; Woldeyohannes *et al.,* 2007; Dube and Fanadzo, 2013; Kyei-Boahen *et al*., 2017).

One feasible and effective method that can be adopted by farmers to increase cowpea yield is to adopt a sustainable intensification approach. This involves the integration of growing more drought-tolerant cultivars, the use of improved crop management such as time of planting and plant population, residue management, tillage, and inputs, such as crop protection chemicals, mineral fertilizers, and use of Rhizobium inoculants (Kyei-Boahen *et al*., 2017).

Application of nitrate and ammonium through the addition of nitrogen fertilizers or Biological Nitrogen Fixation (BNF) fertilizers are necessary inputs that can contribute significantly to the yield of cowpeas. Biological Nitrogen Fixation (BNF) is an economically viable and environmentally pleasant N source. Besides, some soils may not have an adequate number of native rhizobia in terms of quantity, quality, or effectiveness to enhance biological nitrogen fixation (BNF). Thus, Biological fixed N via rhizobia - legumes symbiosis accounts for the largest amounts of nitrogen to agriculture. For example, Herridge *et al*. (2008) reported that more than 20 million tonnes of N are fixed by grain legumes to agriculture each year. Cowpea, similar to the majority of leguminous crops, establishes a mutually beneficial relationship with native rhizobia in intercropping setups. This association leads to the nitrogen-rich aboveground portion of the plant, comparable to approximately 55-96 kg of nitrogen fertilizer per hectare per season (Cong *et al.,* 2015). Cowpeas can fix about 240 kg ha-1 of atmospheric nitrogen and make available about 60-70 kg ha-1 nitrogen available for succeeding crops grown in rotation with it (Aikins and Afuakwa, 2008). Although cowpeas can meet all or part of their N requirement through BNF (Hungria and Kaschuk, 2014). In a previous study, it has been shown that the crop may benefit from Rhizobial inoculation with specific strains of Rhizobia (Fening and Danso, 2002). Many soils, however, do not have an adequate amount of native Rhizobia in terms of quantity, quality, or effectiveness to enhance biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) (FAO, 2012).

This situation suggests the use of Rhizobia inoculant. Inoculation is the manipulation of Rhizobia populations to the amount that could have been naturally fixed for improved nodulation and crop yield. Inoculation of cowpea with *Bradyrhizobium* strains resulted in a significant increase in cowpea nodulation and yield (Koskey *et al*., 2017 Yoseph *et al.,* 2017). An increase in the yield of cowpeas due to inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* strains BR 3267 and BR 3262 has been reported in Brazil (Martins *et al*., 2003).

One other way to improve the yield of cowpeas is by using improved varieties. In Nigeria, cowpea varieties, IT90K-277-2, IT97K-499-35, IT98K-131-2, and IT89KD-288 resulted in significantly higher grain yield compared to the local and other varieties tested (Kamai *et al*., 2014). In Nigeria, there is no information on whether inoculating some available cowpea varieties in Nigeria with *Bradyrhizobium* strains BR3267 and BR3262 could exhibit similar yield responses in soils of a Nigerian Savanna location. The question we seek to answer is whether inoculating cowpea varieties with *Bradyrhizobium* strains can address the problem of

low soil N and increase BNF and cowpea production. Hence, the present study had the following objectives;

* 1. To evaluate the effect of soil proximity to homestead, nitrogen source, and cowpea varieties on nodulation.
  2. To assess the nodule activity of cowpea varieties as affected soil proximity to homestead and nitrogen source.

# METHODOLOGY

**Experimental Site, Soil Sample Collection, and Preparation:** A screen house experiment was conducted in 2016 at the Federal University of Technology, Gidan Kwano, Minna; located in the Southern Guinea Savannah (SGS) of Nigeria within longitudes 90 30’ and 90 40’ E and latitudes 6° 30’ and 6° 35’ N, and at an altitude of 258.5m above sea level. Soil samples for laboratory analysis and screen house study were collected from Paiko, Niger State. Based on cropping history, the field has been put into continuous cultivation with different mixtures of yam, maize, and cowpea between the years 2014 and 2015.

Soil samples were collected randomly across the field using sterilized soil auger and bulked to form composite samples. The sampling depth was (0-20 cm) for both physical and chemical analysis and screen house experiments. The composite samples were taken to the laboratory. Part of the soil samples were air dried and passed through a 2 mm mesh for routine analysis while the remaining sample was prepared moist and kept in the refrigerator for Most Probable Number (MPN) determination.

## Application rates of the treatments and experimental layout:

All treatments received a basal dressing of (P, K, Mg, Zn, Mo, and B) at the rate of 372.6 mg per pot which was calculated based on 2.5 Kg soil per pot, nutrient added was thoroughly mixed. N (urea 100 Kg Nha-1) was split applied as 25% of the (81.60 mg) at sowing and the remaining 75% N (244.80 mg) at two weeks after planting. The factorial combination was used to assign each treatment and treatment combinations to their respective pots. The test crop was cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*(L.) Walp) varieties: Kanannado, IT93K-452-1, IT97K-499-35, and IT90K-277-2. Cowpea seeds were inoculated before planting with a peat-based inoculum of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. strain (BR 3262 or BR 3267) at the rate of 5 g per kilogram of seed using the slurry method as described by Woomer *et al*. (1994).

## Laboratory Analysis

Physical and chemical analyses were carried out by standard methods (IITA, 1989). Soil particle size was determined by the hydrometer method. Soil pH was determined using a pH meter in water (soil solution ratio 1:2.5). Soil organic carbon was determined by the Walkley and Black Oxidation method. Exchangeable bases were extracted with 1 N ammonium acetate (NH4OAc) solution at pH 7.0. Potassium in the extract was measured using a Gallen Kamp flame photometer. Calcium and magnesium were determined by titration. Exchangeable acidity (H+, Al3+) was determined by titrimetric method after extraction with 1N KCl). Total nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl method. Available phosphorus was extracted using the Bray P1 method and was estimated colorimetrically. Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) was determined by the summation of exchangeable bases (Ca2+, Mg2+K+, Na+) and exchangeable acidity (H+, Al3+).

**Sowing:** Before planting, the seeds were first surface sterilized by immersing them in 70% ethanol for 10 seconds after which it was drained; the seeds were then submerged in 1.5% sodium hypochlorite for 3 minutes and then drained and then rinsed six times with sterile distilled water. The plants were watered daily with Sandsman’s N-free nutrient solution 200 ml (at field capacity of the moist soil) per pot for the first four weeks and later one-quarter strength of the solution or just sterile distilled water. Three seeds per pot were sown and later thinned to one seedling per pot a week after sowing.

## Most Probable Number (MPN) Method

MPN was used to estimate the number of viable rhizobia present in the experimental soil. The assay was conducted using a modified Leonard jar method using coarse sand as the potting medium (Howieson and Ballard, 2014). The coarse sand was washed several times with tap water to remove all traces of dissolved nutrients, rinsed with sterile distilled water, sun-dried, and autoclaved before pot filling. Plants were inoculated with 1 ml aliquots of the soil suspensions made from the serial dilution of the soil samples. The soil serial dilution was a 20- fold six-step dilution (20-1 to 20-6) by adding 10 g of soil in 190 ml of sterile distilled water (Woomer, 1994). The presence of nodules on the root of the cowpea at the end of seven weeks was used as an indication of the presence of rhizobia in the soil. Rhizobia count was determined using an MPN table with a confidential interval of P < 0.05 (Somasegaran and Hoben, 1985).

## Inoculation

Cowpea seeds were inoculated before planting with a peat-based inoculum of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. strain (BR 3262 or BR 3267) at the rate of 5 g per kilogram of seed using the slurry method as described by Woomer *et al*. (1994).

## Treatments and Experimental Design

The treatment was a factorial combination of soil proximity to homestead: close and far, nitrogen source: control (no nitrogen application), application of urea (100 kg N ha-1), inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium*strain BR 3262, *Bradyrhizobium* strain BR 3267, and cowpea varieties: Kanannado, IT93K-452-1, IT97K-499-35, and IT90K-277-2, arranged in a completely randomized design and replicated three times. The cowpea varieties were IT93K- 452-1 (extra-early Maturing), IT97K-499-35 (Early Maturing), IT90K-277-2 (Medium Maturing), and Kanannando (Late Maturing).

## Data Analysis

The data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using MINITAB 17.0 Means were separated using the Tukey Test at a 5 % significance level (p≤ 0.05).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study reveals that the experimental soil had an adequate number of native rhizobia (>103 cells g-1 of soil) for cowpea nodulation (Table 1). The findings of Amarger (2001) show that rhizobia is prevalent in tropical soils due to the natural distribution and cultivation of legumes. Indigenous rhizobia population density, effectiveness in forming nodules, and ability to fix N2 can be characterized functionally for N2 fixation potential (Singleton and Travers, 1986).

Soil proximity of field to homestead did not significantly affect nodulation in this study, this result does not affirm the observation that fertile plots are often closest to homesteads, as a result of continuous accumulation of organic amendment and household waste applied directly surrounding the settlements (Zingore *et al.,* 2007).

Despite the relatively high population density of native rhizobia in the study location. Inoculation with BR 3262 improved nodule number and dry weight by 62% and 66% respectively compared to the un-inoculated treatment. This implies that strains BR 3262 inoculant used for this experiment have a competitive ability and may have performed a greater role in nodule occupancy and nitrogen fixation. Sanginga *et al*. (1996) and Houngnandan *et al.*

(2000) reported that response to inoculation may occur when the native rhizobia population is (< 5 or 10 cells g-1 of soil). Contrary to this finding despite the high number of native rhizobia responses to inoculation was observed in this study. Meaning that the native populations may be sufficient in number but not effective enough to impede significant response. More so, other factors aside from the native rhizobia population may have reduced the symbiotic performance of the native strains. Giller (2001) also observed that the presence of a large population density of compatible rhizobia does not, however, preclude the possibility that responses to inoculation can be obtained if competitive and highly effective strains are introduced in high-quality inoculants.

The success of Rhizobium inoculation primarily depends on the rhizobial strain, the legume genotype, the environmental conditions, and crop management (Woomer *et al*., 2014). Nodulation in the non-inoculated control and N treatment suggested that the indigenous strains were effective in forming nodules, although the inoculant strain was superior. These did not only show that cowpeas responded to inoculation but also indicated that the introduced strains were highly viable, especially with BR 3262 thereby aggravated a substantial level of increase in nodule number and dry weight. This result conforms to the findings of Martins *et al*. (2003) who reported a significant increase in the nodule number of cowpea after inoculation with *Rhizobium* inoculant. More so, nodule dry weight is very important in strain assessment as it serves as an indicator of symbiotic proficiency (Graham *et al.,*2004). The N-treated plant recorded the least nodule number and dry weight but was at par with the uninoculated control. More so research has recognized that plants that received mineral nitrogen at a rate of 100 kg N ha-1 recorded the least nodulation. Such results were expected because high levels of nitrogen have been affirmed to affect rhizobia activity in the soil by hindering the host plant from producing lectin which attracts the rhizobia to infect the roots. more so, the use of N at the rate of 100 kg N ha-1 as a control reveals an ideal situation when N is not a limiting factor in cowpea growth.

Nodule number and dry weight were significantly (p<0.05) affected by the cowpea varieties in this study although, in some cases, there were marginal differences among the varieties used. Nodulation is an important indicator for evaluating symbiotic potential among cowpea varieties. The highest nodule number and nodule dry weight was produced by kanannando (local variety) compared to the improved varieties used in this study, this could be attributed to the genetic makeup of the local variety and hence will set up more nitrogen in the system

compared to the improved variety. More so, as a local variety, Kanannado is more adapted to the environmental condition and thus has the best symbiotic potential compared to the improved variety. Ayodele and Oso (2014) also observed that significant variation in nodulation per variety could be attributed to differences in the genetic makeup of the individual varieties. Integrating the Kanannado variety into the cropping system because of its nodule weight may have a positive effect on biological nitrogen fixation. Also, Moharram *et al*. (1992), and Bell *et al*. (1994) reported that there is a relationship between nodulation and nitrogen fixation, the local variety will set more N2 in the system than the improved varieties. IT90K-277-2 and IT97K-499-35 were observed to be low-yielding due to their production of fewer nodules. Low nodule production means less nitrogen will be fixed by the varieties.

The fewer nodule number and dry weight observed with IT90K-277-2 compared to Kanannado when inoculated with BR 3267 in the interaction between Nitrogen sources and varieties could be attributed to differences in the genetic makeup of the varieties. Varietal differences account for nodule differences since the pattern of nodulation, most often reflects the physical distribution of the root system in the soil. Fall *et al.* (2003) also reported that the differences in nodulation and nitrogen fixation could be attributed to the genetic structure of the different varieties. More so, the observed significant difference with plants among varieties in this study is consistent with the earlier work done by Terao *et al*., (1995) who observed that cowpea varieties with spreading growth habits collected more light than those with erect growth habits and consequently produced more leaves which resulted in more nodules.

The production of more nodule number and dry weight with Kanannado variety when inoculated with BR 3267 for soils sampled away from homestead in the interaction between proximity of field to homestead, Nitrogen sources, and varieties. Indicating that the effectiveness of BR 3267 inoculant in this study cannot be underestimated.

Also, plants that received mineral nitrogen at a rate of 100kgNha-1 recorded the least nodulation. Such results were expected because high levels of nitrogen have been reported to affect rhizobia activity in the soil by inhibiting legume host production of lectin which attracts the rhizobia to infect the roots. In this study, cowpea modulation can be improved by the use of the kanannado variety with BR 3267 inoculant.

## Characteristics of Soil used in the Stud*y*

The results obtained from physical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil (Table 1) showed that soils from both close and far proximities to the homestead were slightly acidic and loamy sandy in texture. Organic carbon (3.60 - 4.35 g kg-1), total nitrogen (0.11 - 0.18 g kg-1), and available P (6.00 - 7.00 mg kg-1) were low, exchangeable cations (0.19 - 5.04 C mol kg- 1), and effective cation exchange capacity (6.71- 6.76 C mol kg-1). The result of ECEC reveals that the experimental soil was low in nutrients.

## MPN count of the Indigenous rhizobia

The MPN count of indigenous rhizobia in the soil using four cowpea varieties with respect to proximities to homestead showed native rhizobia level for Kanannado and IT93K-452-1 was similar (12.27 × 106 - 11.28 × 106 cell g-1 of soil), IT97K-499-35 (9.26 × 106 - 12.27 × 106

cell g-1of soil), and IT90K-277-2 (10.94 × 106 cell g-1 of soil) these result reveals that the native rhizobia strains had high fixing ability for cowpea.

**Table 1: Soil characteristics of the study site**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Parameters | Values  (Close Proximity) | Values  (Far Proximity) |
| Sand (g kg-1) | 857 | 847 |
| Silt (g kg-1) | 80 | 80 |
| Clay (g kg-1) | 63 | 73 |
| Textural Class | Loamy Sand | Loamy Sand |
| pH (CaCl) | 5.36 | 5.80 |
| Organic Carbon (g kg-1) | 3.60 | 4.35 |
| Total Nitrogen (g kg-1) | 0.11 | 0.18 |
| Available Phosphorus (mg kg-1) | 6.0 | 7.0 |
| Sodium (C mol kg-1) | 0.50 | 0.49 |
| Potassium (C mol kg-1) | 0.19 | 0.22 |
| Calcium (C mol kg-1) | 4.80 | 5.04 |
| Magnessium (C mol kg-1) | 1.12 | 0.80 |
| Exchangeable Acidity (C mol kg-1) | 0.10 | 0.21 |
| ECEC | 6.71 | 6.76 |

**Table 2: MPN count of soils cultivated to cowpea varieties for both proximities to homestead**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Varieties | MPN (Cell g-1 of soil) (Close proximity) | MPN (Cell g-1 of soil) (Far proximity) |
| Kanannado | 12.27 × 106 | 11.28 × 106 |
| IT93K-452-1 | 12.27 × 106 | 11.28 × 106 |
| IT97K-499-35 | 9.26 × 106 | 12.22 × 106 |
| IT90K-277-2 | 10.94 × 106 | 10.94 × 106 |

## Cowpea Nodulation

Cowpea nodulation, i.e., nodule number and dry weight, were not significantly different under soil proximities to the homestead (Table 3). However, nitrogen sources significantly (p<0.05) affected the cowpea nodulation (Table 3). Nodule number and nodule dry weight increased substantially with the BR 3262 inoculant strain, which was at par with the BR 3267 inoculant strain for nodule dry weight compared to the other nitrogen sources. The Kanannado variety recorded more and heavier nodules compared to the IT93K-452-1, IT97K-499-35, and IT90K- 277-2 varieties. The use of variety IT97K-499-35 and IT90K-277-2 had similar least and lighter cowpea nodules in this study. In addition, a significant interaction was observed between Nitrogen sources and varieties on nodulation and the combination of proximity to homestead, Nitrogen sources, and varieties on nodule number and nodule dry weight of cowpea (Table 3).

**Interaction Effects between Nitrogen Sources and cowpea varieties on cowpea Nodulation** The interaction between nitrogen sources and varieties (Table 4) revealed that irrespective of the varieties used in this study, inoculation with BR 3262 improved the nodule number and cowpea's dry weight, respectively. Although the highest number of nodules was found in the kanannado variety with BR 3267 inoculant strain, it was not significantly (p>0.05) different from nodules obtained using un-amended control and BR 3262. A similar trend occurred in nodule dry weight (Table 5). The kannanado variety had the heaviest nodule dry weight, which was at par with that from other treatments except for the urea treatment.

## Interactive effect of Nitrogen sources, Soil proximity, and Varieties on nodulation of cowpea

A significant interaction was observed between the proximity of the field to the homestead, nitrogen sources, and varieties on nodule number (Table 6). Heavier nodules were produced with BR 3267 inoculant using kanannado followed by IT93K-452-1 variety for soils sampled further away from the homestead. Similar nodules were produced by plant inoculated with BR 3262 using the four cowpea varieties except for kanannado and IT97K-499-35 under proximity to the homestead. However, they were significantly at par with the control treatment using kanannado for proximity close to the homestead.

Significant interactions were also recorded among the combination of proximities, nitrogen sources, and varieties on nodule dry weight of cowpeas (Table 7). Inoculation with BR 3267 using the kanannado variety resulted in the highest nodule dry weight though not significantly (p< 0.05) different from the nodule dry weight gotten from IT93K-452-1 for soils sampled away from the homestead and BR 3262 using the four cowpea varieties except for Kanannado and IT97K-499-35 using soils away from the homestead. Similar nodule dry weight was also observed with the un-inoculated control with kanannado variety for soils sampled near the homestead.

**Table 3: Effect of Nitrogen sources, Soil proximity to homestead and Varieties on growth parameters of cowpea**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Varieties |  |  |
| Nitrogen sources | Kanannado | IT93K-452-1 | IT97K-499-35 | IT90K-277-2 |
| Control | 0.09abc | 0.07bcd | 0.04bcd | 0.05bcd |
| Urea | 0.05bcd | 0.04bcd | 0.04bcd | 0.04bcd |
| BR3262 | 0.09abc | 0.11ab | 0.09abc | 0.10abc |
| BR3267  **SE±** | 0.15a | 0.10abc | 0.07bcd  **0.01** | 0.02d |

Means with the same letters in a treatment column are not significantly different according to Tukey test at P≤0.05

\*Significant at (P≤0.05), NS (not significant)

**Table 4: Interaction effect between nitrogen sources and cowpea varieties on nodule number (count plant-1) of cowpea**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Treatment | Nodule Number (Count plant-1) | Nodule dry Weight (g plant-1) |
| **Proximity (P)** |  |  |
| Close | 14.31a | 0.07a |
| Far | 15.75a | 0.07a |
| SE± | 0.87 | 0.00 |
| **Nitrogen sources (N)** |  |  |
| Control | 13.08c | 0.06b |
| Urea (100 kg N ha-1) | 8.50d | 0.04b |
| BR 3262 | 21.25a | 0.10a |
| BR 3267 | 17.29b | 0.08ab |
| SE± | 1.24 | 0.01 |
| **Varieties (V)** |  |  |
| Kanannado | 19.71a | 0.10a |
| IT93K-452-1 | 15.75b | 0.08ab |
| IT97K-499-35 | 13.13bc | 0.06b |
| IT90K-277-2 | 11.54c | 0.05b |
| SE± | 1.24 | 0.01 |
| **Interaction** |  |  |
| P × N | **NS** | **NS** |
| P × V | **NS** | **NS** |
| N × V | **\*** | **\*** |
| P × N × V | **\*** | **\*** |

a,b,c,d Means with the same letters are not statistically different (P>0.05)

**Table 5: Interaction effect between nitrogen sources and cowpea varieties on nodule dry weight (gplant-1) of cowpea**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Varieties |  |  |
| Nitrogen sources | Kanannado | IT93K-452-1 | IT97K-499-35 | IT90K-277-2 |
| Control | 18.83a-e | 13.00b-f | 9.83c-f | 10.67b-f |
| Urea | 10.67b-f | 7.00ef | 9.00def | 7.33ef |
| BR3262 | 20.50a-d | 22.50ab | 20.17 a-d | 21.83abc |
| BR3267 | 28.83a | 20.50a-d | 13.50b-f | 6.33f |
| **SE±** |  |  | **2.48** |  |

a,b,c,d Means with the same letters are not statistically different (P>0.05)

This study reveals that the experimental soil had an adequate number of native rhizobia (>103 cells g-1 of soil) for cowpea nodulation (Table 2). This finding is significant as it supports Amarger's (2001) findings that rhizobia is prevalent in tropical soils due to the natural distribution and cultivation of legumes. The population density of indigenous rhizobia, their effectiveness in forming nodules, and their ability to fix N2 can be functionally characterized for N2 fixation potential (Singleton and Travers, 1986). The soil proximity of the field to the homestead did not significantly (p>0.05) affect nodulation in this study (Table 3). This result did not affirm the observation that fertile plots are often closest to homesteads due to the continuous accumulation of organic amendment and household waste applied directly surrounding the settlements (Zingore *et al.,* 2007).

Despite the relatively high population density of native rhizobia in the study location. Compared to the un-inoculated treatment, inoculation with BR 3262 improved nodule number and dry weight by 62% and 66%, respectively. This implies that strains of BR 3262 inoculant used for this experiment have a competitive ability and may have performed a more significant role in nodule occupancy and nitrogen fixation. Sanginga *et al*. (1996) and Houngnandan *et al.* (2000) reported that response to inoculation might occur when the native rhizobia population is (< 5 or 10 cells g-1 of soil). Contrary to this finding, despite the high number of native rhizobia responses to inoculation, it was observed in this study. This means that the native populations may be sufficient in number but not adequate to impede significant response. Other factors aside from the native rhizobia population may have reduced the symbiotic performance of the native strains. Giller (2001) also observed that a large population density of compatible rhizobia does not preclude the possibility that responses to inoculation can be obtained if competitive and highly effective strains are introduced in high-quality inoculants.

The success of Rhizobium inoculation is a complex process that primarily depends on the rhizobial strain, the legume genotype, and importantly, the environmental conditions and crop management (Woomer *et al*., 2014). This understanding underscores the intricate nature of agricultural practices and the need for careful management. Nodulation in the non-inoculated control and N treatment suggested that the indigenous strains were effective in forming nodules, although the inoculant strain was superior. These showed that cowpeas responded to inoculation and indicated that the introduced strains were highly viable, especially with BR 3262, thereby aggravating a substantial increase in nodule number and dry weight. This result conforms to the findings of Martins *et al*. (2003), who reported a significant increase in nodule number of cowpea after inoculation with *Rhizobium* inoculant. More so, nodule dry weight is substantial in strain assessment as it indicates symbiotic proficiency (Graham *et al.,* 2004). The N-treated plant recorded the least nodule number and dry weight but was at par with the uninoculated control. More so, research has recognized that plants that received mineral nitrogen at a rate of 100 kg N ha-1 recorded the least nodulation. However, such results were expected because high levels of nitrogen have been affirmed to affect rhizobia activity in the soil by hindering the host plant from producing lectin, which attracts the rhizobia to infect the roots. Using N at the rate of 100 kg N ha-1 as a control reveals an ideal situation when N is not a limiting factor in cowpea growth.

Nodule number and dry weight were significantly (p≤0.05) affected by the cowpea varieties in this study, although, in some cases, there were marginal differences among the varieties used. Nodulation is an essential indicator for evaluating symbiotic potential among cowpea varieties. The highest nodule number and nodule dry weight were produced by kanannando (local variety) compared to the improved varieties used in this study; this could be attributed to the genetic makeup of the local variety and hence will set up more nitrogen in the system compared to the improved variety. As a local variety, Kanannado is more adapted to the environmental condition and thus has the best symbiotic potential compared to the enhanced variety. Ayodele and Oso (2014) also observed that significant variation in nodulation per variety could be attributed to differences in the genetic makeup of the individual varieties. Integrating the Kanannado variety into the cropping system because of its nodule weight may positively affect biological nitrogen fixation. Also, Moharram *et al*. (1992) and Bell *et al*. (1994) reported a relationship between nodulation and nitrogen fixation; the local variety will set more N2 in the system than the improved varieties. IT90K-277-2 and IT97K-499-35 were

observed to be low-yielding due to their production of fewer nodules. Low nodule production means the varieties will fix less nitrogen.

The fewer nodule number and dry weight observed with IT90K-277-2 compared to Kanannado when inoculated with BR 3267 in the interaction between Nitrogen sources and varieties (Tables 4 and 5) could be attributed to differences in the genetic makeup of the varieties. Varietal differences account for nodule differences since the pattern of nodulation most often reflects the physical distribution of the root system in the soil. More so, the observed significant difference with plants among varieties in this study is consistent with the earlier work done by Terao *et al*. (1995), who observed that cowpea varieties with spreading growth habits collected enough sunlight than those with erect growth habits and consequently produced more leaves which resulted in more nodules.

The production of more nodule number and dry weight with Kanannado variety when inoculated with BR 3267 for soils sampled away from homestead in the interaction between proximity of field to homestead (Tables 6 and 7), Nitrogen sources, and varieties, indicating that the effectiveness of BR 3267 inoculant in this study cannot be underestimated. Also, plants that received mineral nitrogen at a rate of 100kgNha-1 recorded the least nodulation. Such results were expected because high nitrogen levels have been reported to affect rhizobia activity in the soil by inhibiting legume host production of lectin, which attracts the rhizobia and infects the roots. In this study, cowpea

The findings of this study suggest a promising avenue for improving nodulation. By using the kanannado variety with BR 3267 inoculant, significant improvements in nodulation can be achieved, offering a hopeful prospect for future agricultural practices.

**Table 6. Interactive effects of nitrogen sources, proximity to homestead and cowpea varieties on Nodule Number (count plant-1)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Varieties | | | | | |
| Proximity | Nitrogen sources | Kanannado | IT93K-452-1 | IT97K-499-35 | IT90K-277-2 |
| Close | Control | 19.33a-d | 13.33bcd | 7.67cd | 9.33cd |
| Far |  | 18.33bcd | 12.67bcd | 12.00bcd | 12.00bcd |
| Close | Urea | 9.33cd | 7.33cd | 6.67cd | 6.33cd |
| Far |  | 12.00bcd | 6.67cd | 11.33bcd | 8.33cd |
| Close | BR3262 | 23.67abc | 22.33a-d | 22.00a-d | 23.00abc |
| Far |  | 17.33bcd | 22.67a-d | 18.33bcd | 20.67a-d |
| Close | BR3267 | 19.00bcd | 11.33bcd | 19.00bcd | 9.33cd |
| Far |  | 38.67a | 29.67ab | 8.00cd | 3.33d |

a,b,c,d Means with the same letters are not statistically different (P>0.05)

**Table 7. Interactive effects of nitrogen sources, proximity to homestead and varieties on Nodule dry weight (g plant-1) of cowpea**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Varieties | | | | | |
| Proximity | Nitrogen sources | Kanannado | IT93K-452-1 | IT97K-499-35 | IT90K-277-2 |
| Close | Control | 0.10a-d | 0.08bcd | 0.03cd | 0.05bcd |
| Far |  | 0.08bcd | 0.06bcd | 0.05bcd | 0.06bcd |
| Close | Urea | 0.05bcd | 0.06bcd | 0.04cd | 0.03cd |
| Far |  | 0.06bcd | 0.03cd | 0.04cd | 0.04cd |
| Close | BR3262 | 0.11abc | 0.11abc | 0.10a-d | 0.11abc |
| Far |  | 0.08bcd | 0.11abc | 0.08bcd | 0.10a-d |
| Close | BR3267 | 0.09a-d | 0.06bcd | 0.10a-d | 0.04cd |
| Far |  | 0.20a | 0.15abc | 0.04cd | 0.00d |

a,b,c,d Means with the same letters are not statistically different (P>0.05)

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results obtained in this study suggest that the proximity of the field to the homestead did not significantly affect nodulation. Also, *the bradyrhizobia* strain BR 3262 was more effective on cowpea nodulation and could be recommended for cowpea cultivation in soils with a high native rhizobia population. This study also revealed that the local variety had better nodulation compared to the improved varieties. It's important to note that further studies will be required for validation under field conditions, demonstrating the thoroughness of our research.

**REFERENCES**

Aikins, S. H. M., and Afuakwa, J. J. (2008). Growth and dry matter yield response of cowpea to different sowing depths. *ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 3(5-6), 50-54.

Amarger, N. (2001). Rhizobia in the field. *Advance Agronomy,* 73, 109–168.

Ayodele, O. J., and Oso, A. A. (2014). Cowpea responses to phosphorus fertilizer application at Ado- Ekiti, south-west Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science Agriculture*, 9, 485–489.

Bell, M. J., Wright, G. C., Suryantini, and Peoples, M. B. (1994). The N2-fixing capacity of peanut cultivars with differing assimilate partitioning characteristics. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 45(7), 1455–1468.

Cong, W. F., Hoffland, E., Li, L., Six, J., Sun, J. H., Bao, X. G., ... Van Der Werf, W. (2015).

Intercropping enhances soil carbon and nitrogen. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 1715–1726.

Dube, E., and Fanadzo, M. (2013). Maximizing yield benefits from dual-purpose cowpea. Food Sec., 5, 769–779. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-013-0307-3

Fall, L., Diouf, D., Fall-Ndiaye, M. A., Badiane, F. A., and Gueye, M. (2003). Genetic diversity in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) *Walp*.] varieties determined by ARA and RAPD techniques. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2(2), 48–50.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2012). Grassland species index. *Vigna unguiculata.*

<http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/Gbase/data/pf000090.htm>

FAOSTAT. (2016). FAO Statistical Yearbook. World food and agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.

FAOSTAT. (2018). FAO Statistical Yearbook. World food and agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.

Fening, J. O., and Danso, S. K. A. (2002). Variation in symbiotic effectiveness of cowpea *bradyrhizobia*

indigenous to Ghanaian soils. *Applied Soil Ecology,* 21, 23–29.

Giller, K. E. (2001). Nitrogen fixation in tropical cropping systems. CAB International, Walliford, UK.

Graham, P. H., Hungria, M., and Tlusty, B. (2004). Breeding for better nitrogen fixation in grain legumes: Where do the rhizobia fit in? Crop Management doi:10.1094/CM-2004-0301-02-RV Herridge, D. F., Peoples, M. B., and Boddey, R. M. (2008). Marschner Review: Global inputs of

biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. *Plant and Soil*, 311, 1–18.

Horn, L. N., and Shimelis, H. (2020). Production constraints and breeding approaches for cowpea improvement for drought-prone agro-ecologies in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Annual Agricultural Science.*, 65(1), 83–91.

Houngnandan, P., Sanginga, N., Woomer, P., Vanlauwe, B., and VanCleemput, O. (2000). Response of Mucuna puriens to symbiotic nitrogen fixation by rhizobia following inoculation in farmers’ fields in the derived savanna of Benin. Biology Fertility Soils, 30, 558–565.

Howieson, J., and Ballard, R. (2004). Optimizing the legume symbiosis in stressful and competitive environments within southern Australia - some contemporary thoughts. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 36, 1261–1273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.096156>

Hungria, M., and Kaschuk, G. (2014). Regulation of N2 fixation and NO3-/NH4+ assimilation in nodulated and N–fertilized Phaseolus vulgaris L. exposed to high-temperature stress. Environ. Exp. Bot., 98, 32–39. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.10.010

IITA (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture). (1989). Selected methods for soil and plant analysis; Manual Series, No. 1. Revised Edition, 1979. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Kamai, N., Gworgwor, N. A., and Sodangi, I. A. (2014). Morphological Basis for Yield Differences among Cowpea Varieties in the Sudan Savanna Zone of Nigeria*. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science* (IOSR-JAVS), 7, 49–53.

Keyser, H. H., and Li, F. (1992). Potential for increasing biological nitrogen fixation in soybean. Plant and Soil, 141, 119–135.

Koskey, G., Mburu, S. W., Njeru, E. M., Kimiti, J. M., Ombori, O., and Maingi, J. M. (2017). Potential of native rhizobia in enhancing nitrogen fixation and yields of climbing beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) in contrasting environments of Eastern Kenya. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 443.

Kyei-Boahen, S., Savala, C. E. N, Chikoye, D., and Abaidoo, R. (2017). Growth and Yield Responses of Cowpea to Inoculation and Phosphorus Fertilization in different Environments. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 646. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00646

Martins, L. M. V., Xavier, G. R., Rangel, F. W., Ribeiro, J. R. A., Neves, M. C. P., Morgado, L. B., ... and Singh, B. B. (2003). Contribution of biological nitrogen fixation to cowpea: a strategy for improving grain yield in the semi-arid region of Brazil. *Biology Fertility Soil*, 38, 333–339. doi: 10.1007/s00374-003-0668-4

Moharram, T. M. M., Safwat, M. S. A., Faris, F. S., and El-Dahtory, T. H. (1992). Response of some pea cultivars to phosphorus fertilization using N15 methodology. In Recent Developments in Biological Nitrogen Fixation Research in Africa (pp. 301–308). Proceedings of the 5th International Conference of the *African Association for Biological Nitrogen Fixation* (AABNF),

pp. 301–308, Hassan II Institute of Agronomy and Veterinary Medicine (IAV), Rabat, Morocco, September 1992.

Reamaekers, R. H. (2001). Crop production tropical Africa. DGIC, Belgium, 334-34.

Sandsman, W. P. L. (1970). Practical aspects of Rhizobium bacteriology. Rhodesian Ministry of Agriculture, 185.

Sanginga, N., Abaidoo, R., Dashiell, K., Carsky, R., and Okogun, A. (1996). Persistence and effectiveness of rhizobia nodulating promiscuous soybeans in moist savanna zones of Nigeria. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 3, 215–224. doi: 10.1016/0929-1393(95)00089-5

Singleton, P. W., and Tavares, J. W. (1986). Inoculation response of legumes in relation to the number and effectiveness of indigenous Rhizobium populations. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 51, 1013-1018.

Somasegaran, P., and Hoben, H. J. (1985). Methods in legume-rhizobium technology. NifTAL and MIRCEN, Maui.

Sprent, J. I., and James, E. K. (2007). Legume Evolution: Where Do Nodules and Mycorrhizas Fit In?

*Plant Physiology,* 144, 575-581.

Terao, T., Watanabe, I., Matsunaga, R., Hakoyama, S., and Singh, B. B. (1995). Analysis of Agrophysiological constraints in intercropped cowpea. Cowpea Abstracts, Second World Cowpea Research Conference, 3-7 September, Accra, Ghana.

Vincent, J. M. (1970). A manual for the practical study of root nodule bacteria. International Biological programme handbook no 15 Blackwell Scientific Publ Ltd, Oxford, United Kingdom.

Woldeyohannes, W. H., Dasilva, M. C., and Gueye, M. (2007). Nodulation and nitrogen fixation of Stylosanthes hamata in response to induced environmental stress. Arid Land Resource Management, 21, 157-163.

Woomer, P. L., Martin, A., Albrecht, A., Resck, D. V. S., and Scharpenseel, H. W. (1994). The importance and management of soil organic matter in the tropics. In the biological Management of Tropical Soil Fertility (pp. 47–80). Wiley, Chichester.

Woomer, P. L., Huising, J., and Giller, K. E. (2014). N2Africa Final Report of the First Phase 2009- 2013. Available at: [www.N2Africa.org](http://www.N2Africa.org/) 138.

Yoseph, T., Baraso, B., and Ayalew, T. (2017). Influence of Bradyrhizobia inoculation on growth, nodulation, and yield performance of cowpea varieties. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 12, 1906–1913.

Zingore, S., Murwira, H. K., Delve, R. J., and Giller, R. J. (2007). Field Crops Research.

.