#### Population dynamics of a mathematical model for Campylobacteriosis

T. T. Ashezua<sup>1</sup>, M. T. Salemkaan<sup>2</sup> and S. A. Somma<sup>3</sup> <sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University Makurdi, Nigeria <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria

Corresponding author's email/Phone number: timothy.ashezua@uam.edu.ng, 07032230542

#### Abstract

The bacterium campylobacter is the cause of campylobacteriosis, a major cause of foodborne illness that goes by the most common name for diarrheal illnesses. This paper develops and analyzes a new mathematical model for campylobacteriosis. It is demonstrated that in cases where the corresponding reproduction number is smaller than unity, the model's disease-free equilibrium is both locally and globally stable. The numerical simulation results indicate that increasing the treatment rate for both symptomatic and asymptomatic disease-infected individuals resulted in a decrease in the number of asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals, respectively, and a rise in the population's number of recovered individuals.

Keywords: Reproduction number, stability, mathematical simulation, campylobacteriosis.

#### **1.0 Introduction**

The bacterium campylobacter is the source of campylobacteriosis, a major cause of foodborne illness that is thought to be the most prevalent indicator of diarrheal illnesses (WHO, 2020). The World Health Organization estimates that the burden of food-borne illnesses claims the lives of approximately 33 million healthy people and causes 1 in 10 people to become ill. The majority of illnesses resulting from eating unsafe food are diarrheal diseases, accounting for 550 million illnesses per year, of which 220 million are in children under the age of five (WHO, 2020). The majority of the time, campylobacter infections are mild (asymptomatic); however, in very young children, the elderly, and people with compromised immune systems, they can be fatal (symptomatic) (WHO, 2020, Health direct, 2024).

Common symptoms of campylobacter infection are; fever, cramping in the stomach, and diarrhea, which is frequently bloody. After diarrhea, nausea and vomiting are possible. Following infection, symptoms typically appear two to five days later and persist for approximately one week. Some people experience complications like arthritis, irritable bowel syndrome, and temporary paralysis (CDC, 2023). The majority

of people with campylobacter infections recover without the need for antibiotics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reported that (patients are advised to stay hydrated for the duration of their diarrhea), however severe cases may require antibiotic treatment. The groups most likely to experience severe cases of the disease are the elderly (65 years of age and older), pregnant women, and individuals with weakened immune systems (CDC, 2019).

Throughout the years, mathematical models of infectious diseases have offered helpful insights into the dynamics of infectious disease transmission, prevention, and control (Gulmel et al., 2018 are one example of this). However, only a small number of mathematical models have been created and applied to campylobateriosis in order to comprehend the disease's dynamics of transmission, management, and prevention; for instance, refer to (Rawson et al. 2019, Nyasagare et al. 2019, Osman et al. 2020, Chuma and Mussa, 2021). In 2019, Rawson and colleagues created and studied a mathematical model of the campylobacter in broiler flocks dynamics. In their investigations, the pathways of infection among cohoused birds were modeled using a system of stochastic differential equations. Nyasagare et al. (2019) developed and examined a mathematical model of campybacteriosis in animal and human populations using the S-I-R approach. Using an S-I-R model for both human and animal populations, Osman et al. (2020) developed and examined a mathematical model for campylobacteriosis using a modified finite difference method with optimal control. Chuma and Mussa (2021) created and examined an epidemic model that included sanitation control, treatment, and public health education to explain the dynamics of the campylobacteriosis disease. They did not divide the exposed class into asymptomatic and symptomatic classes in their work. A novel deterministic mathematical model for analyzing the dynamics of campylobacteriosis transmission in a population is presented in this work. The following presumptions form the basis of the model:

- (i) The exposed class is split into asymptomatic and symptomatic classes, respectively. This is in line with the information obtained from (Osman *et al.* 2020, Health direct, 2024).
- (ii) Asymptomatic individuals can recover without treatment and equally develop symptoms to progress to the symptomatic class while symptomatic patients might need antibiotics treatment (CDC, 2019).

In all the aforementioned mathematical models of Campylobacteriosis, none of them considered the assumptions (i) and (ii). Hence, in the present study, the exposed class have been split into the asymptomatic and symptomatic classes. Also, treatment of the asymptomatic and symptomatic patients are included as intervention strategies in our model to curtail the spread of the disease in the population.

#### **2.0 Model Formulation**

The total population at time t, denoted by N(t), is divided into the human  $(N_h(t))$  and animal  $(N_v(t))$  populations. The total population of humans is further sub-divided into the five mutually-exclusive compartments of the susceptible  $(S_h(t))$ , exposed  $(E_h(t))$ , asymptomatic  $(I_a(t))$ , symptomatic  $(I_s(t))$ , and recovered  $(I_a(t))$  humans. Also, the total animal population is sub-divided into the susceptible  $(S_v(t))$ , infected  $(I_v(t))$  and recovered  $(R_v(t))$  sub-population. Thus,

$$N(t) = N_{h}(t) + N_{v}(t)$$

$$N_{h}(t) = S_{h}(t) + E_{h}(t) + I_{a}(t) + I_{s}(t) + R_{h}(t),$$

$$N_{v}(t) = S_{v}(t) + I_{v}(t) + R_{v}(t).$$
(2.1)

The susceptible population (for both human and animals) are recruited through immigration at rates  $\Lambda_h(\Lambda_v)$ , respectively. Humans in  $E_h$  class progresses to class  $I_a$  and  $I_s$  at rate  $\theta$  while  $\rho$  is the proportion of humans that progressed to class  $I_a$ . The humans in classes  $I_a$  and  $I_s$  recover from campylobacteriosis at rates  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , respectively. Furthermore, natural death rate  $\mu_h(\mu_v)$  occurs in all the epidemiological classes of human (animal) population while humans (animals) in classes  $I_s$  and  $I_v$  suffer an additional Campylobacteriosis induced death at a rate  $\delta_h(\delta_v)$ , respectively. Humans and other animals that are susceptible to the disease can contract campylobacteriosis by eating contaminated food or water or by coming into close contact with infected humans or animals (i.e. those in the  $I_a$ ,  $I_s$  and  $I_v$  classes), at a rate  $\beta_1 \lambda$  and  $\beta_2 \lambda$ , respectively, given by

$$\beta_1 (I_a + I_s + I_v), \qquad (2.2)$$

and

$$\beta_2 (I_a + I_s + I_v). \tag{2.3}$$

Animals recover from campylobacteriosis at a rate  $\gamma_3$ .



Figure 1. Schematic diagram for model (2.4).

Variables/parameters	Interpretation	
$S_h$	Susceptible human population	
$E_h$	Exposed human population	
I <sub>a</sub>	Asymptomatic human population	
$I_s$	Symptomatic human population	
$R_h$	Recovered human population	
S <sub>v</sub>	Susceptible animal population	
$I_{v}$	Infected animal population	
$R_{v}$	Recovered animal population	
$\Lambda_h(\Lambda_v)$	Recruitment rate into the susceptible human (animal) compartments	
$\beta_1(eta_2)$	Infection rates	
$\theta$	Progression rate	
ρ	Proportion of exposed humans moving to class $I_a$	

η	Progression rate from $I_a$ to $I_s$	
$\gamma_1(\gamma_2)$	Treatment rates for the human	
$\gamma_3$	Treatment rate for the animals	
$\mu_h(\mu_v)$	Human (animal) natural death rate	
$\psi_h(\psi_v)$	Loss of immunity	
$\delta_h(\delta_v)$	Human (animal) disease-induced death rate	
λ	Force of infection	

When all of these definitions and presumptions are combined, the system of differential equations in (2.4) yields the new Campylobacteriosis model. Figure 1 displays the model's flow diagram, and Table 1 lists the variables in the model.

$$\frac{dS_{h}(t)}{dt} = \Lambda_{h} - \beta_{1}\lambda S_{h} - \mu_{h}S_{h} + \psi_{h}R_{h},$$

$$\frac{dE_{h}(t)}{dt} = \beta_{1}\lambda S_{h} - [\theta\rho + \theta(1-\rho) + \mu_{h}]E_{h},$$

$$\frac{dI_{a}(t)}{dt} = \theta\rho E_{h} - (\eta + \gamma_{1} + \mu_{h})I_{a},$$

$$\frac{dI_{s}(t)}{dt} = \theta(1-\rho)E_{h} + \eta I_{a} - (\gamma_{2} + \mu_{h} + \delta_{h})I_{s},$$

$$\frac{dR_{h}(t)}{dt} = \gamma_{1}I_{a} + \gamma_{2}I_{s} - (\mu_{h} + \psi_{h})R_{h},$$

$$\frac{dS_{v}(t)}{dt} = \Lambda_{v} - \beta_{2}\lambda S_{v} - \mu_{v}S_{v} + \psi_{v}R_{v},$$

$$\frac{dI_{v}(t)}{dt} = \beta_{2}\lambda S_{v} - (\gamma_{3} + \mu_{v} + \delta_{v})I_{v},$$

$$\frac{dR_{v}(t)}{dt} = \gamma_{3}I_{v} - (\mu_{v} + \psi_{v})R_{v}.$$
(2.4)

where the forces of infection for human and animals are as given in equations (2.2) and (2.3), respectively.

**Theorem 2.1.**: When starting with positive data, every solution in the model (2.4) stays positive over time. Additionally, the model is a dynamic system on the area that has,  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \subset \Re^5_+ \times \Re^3_+$  with,

$$\Omega_{1} = \left\{ \left( S_{h}, E_{h}, I_{a}, I_{s}, R_{h} \right) : S_{h} + E_{h} + I_{a} + I_{s} + R_{h} = N_{h} \leq \frac{\Lambda_{h}}{\mu_{h}} \right\},$$

$$\Omega_{2} = \left\{ \left( S_{v}, I_{v}, R_{v} \right) : S_{v} + I_{v} + R_{v} = N_{v} \leq \frac{\Lambda_{v}}{\mu_{v}} \right\},$$
(2.5)

**Proof**. Following similar approach as in Gumel *et al.*, (2018), it is easy to see that the following first-order inequality equations follow from the equations for humans (susceptible individuals) and animals (susceptible animals) in model (2.4):

$$\frac{dS_h}{dt} + (\beta_1 \lambda + \mu_h)S_h > 0, \text{ and } \frac{dS_v}{dt} + (\beta_2 \lambda + \mu_v)S_v > 0$$

Applying integrating factor to the inequalities

$$\alpha_{s_h}(t) = \exp^{\int [\beta_1 \lambda(\tau) + \mu_h] d\tau}, \alpha_{s_v}(t) = \exp^{\int [\beta_2 \lambda(\tau) + \mu_v] d\tau}$$

and observing that

$$\alpha S_h(t) \left[ \frac{dS_h(t)}{dt} + (\beta_1 \lambda + \mu_h) S_h \right] = \frac{dS_h \alpha S_h}{dt},$$
  
$$\alpha S_v(t) \left[ \frac{dS_v(t)}{dt} + (\beta_1 \lambda + \mu_v) S_A \right] = \frac{dS_v \alpha S_v}{dt},$$

,

then integrating from 0 to gives  $S_h(t) \ge 0$  and  $S_v(t) \ge 0$  at all times, respectively, with respect to time. Nevertheless, the remaining equations are not amenable to this direct method. However, the conservation law is obtained by summing the model's first five and final three equations (2.4).

$$\frac{dN_{h}}{dt} = \Lambda_{h} - \mu_{h}N_{h} - \delta_{h}I_{s}$$

$$\frac{dN_{v}}{dt} = \Lambda_{v} - \mu_{v}N_{v} - \delta_{a}I_{v}$$
(2.6)

Thus, the general a priori estimates below can be demonstrated to hold using a standard comparison theorem.

$$0 \leq N_{h}(t) \leq N_{h}(0) \exp^{-\mu_{h}t} + \frac{\Lambda_{h}}{\mu_{h}} \left(1 - \exp^{-\mu_{h}t}\right)$$

$$0 \leq N_{v}(t) \leq N_{v}(0) \exp^{-\mu_{v}t} + \frac{\Lambda_{v}}{\mu_{v}} \left(1 - \exp^{-\mu_{v}t}\right)$$

$$(2.7)$$

We determine that there is only one global solution in the domain  $\Omega$ . The right-hand side of the model (2.4) is locally Lipschitz (Stuart and Humphties 1998). Consequently, the dynamical system on  $\Omega$  in

model (2.4). Conversely, if a solution is found outside of the area  $\Omega$ , that is,  $N_h \leq \frac{\Lambda_h}{\mu_h}$  and  $N_v \leq \frac{\Lambda_v}{\mu_v}$ ,

then the conservation law mentioned above implies that  $\frac{dN_h}{dt} \le 0$  and  $\frac{dN_v}{dt} \le 0$ . Thus, it can be seen from

the estimates above that  $N_h(t)$  tends to  $\frac{\Lambda_h}{\mu_h}$  and  $N_v(t)$  tends to  $\frac{\Lambda_v}{\mu_v}$  as  $t \to \infty$ . As a result, the region  $\Omega$ 

is interesting.

#### **3** Mathematical Analysis

#### **3.1** Asymptotic Stability of Disease-free Equilibrium (DFE)

The DFE of the model (2.4) is given by

$$E_{1} = (S_{h}^{0}, E_{h}^{0}, I_{a}^{0}, I_{s}^{0}, R_{h}^{0}, S_{v}^{0}, I_{v}^{0}, R_{v}^{0}) = (\frac{\Lambda_{h}}{\mu_{h}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\Lambda_{v}}{\mu_{v}}, 0, 0).$$

Using model (2.4) and an operator method for the next generation (van den Driessche and Watmough, 2002), the local stability of  $E_1$  will be established. It follows that matrices F and V, the notation found in van den Driessche and Watmough (2002) is used for the new infection terms and the remaining transition terms, respectively.

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda_h}{\mu_h} & \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda_h}{\mu_h} & \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda_h}{\mu_h} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta_2 \Lambda_v}{\mu_v} & \frac{\beta_2 \Lambda_v}{\mu_v} & \frac{\beta_2 \Lambda_v}{\mu_v} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -k_3 & -\eta & k_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k_6 \end{pmatrix},$$

where,

$$k_{1} = [\theta \rho + \theta (1 - \rho) + \mu_{h}], k_{2} = (\eta + \gamma_{2} + \mu_{h}), k_{3} = \theta (1 - \rho), k_{4} = (\gamma_{4} + \mu_{h} + \delta_{h}),$$

$$k_{5} = (\mu_{h} + \psi_{h}), k_{6} = (\gamma_{3} + \mu_{v} + \delta_{v}), k_{7} = (\mu_{v} + \psi_{v}).$$
(3.1).

Hence, the effective reproduction number of the model (2.4), denoted by  $R_c$ , is given by

$$R_{c} = \frac{\Lambda_{h}k_{3}k_{6}\mu_{\nu}\beta_{1} + \Lambda_{\nu}k_{1}k_{4}\mu_{h}\beta_{2}}{\mu_{h}\mu_{\nu}k_{1}k_{4}k_{6}}$$
(3.2)

The following findings are derived from the Theorem 2 Van den Driessche and Watmough's (2002) **Lemma 3.1:** The DFE  $(E_1)$  of the model (2.4) is locally asymptotically stable whenever  $R_c < 1$  and unstable if otherwise.

The threshold quantity  $R_c$  measures the average number of new Campylobacteriosis infections generated by an index case in a completely susceptible population (van den Driessche and Watmough, 2002). Specifically,  $R_c$  denotes the mean quantity of newly acquired Campylobacteriosis infections within the human (animal) population, resulting from the introduction of a single infected individual into a fully susceptible human (animal) population. Lemma 3.1's epidemiological implication is that, if the initial sizes of the model's subpopulation are within the DFE's ( $E_1$ ) basin of attraction, campylobacteriosis can be eradicated from the population when  $R_c$  is less than unity. As a result, a small number of humans or animals carrying the infection may enter the community; this will not cause significant outbreaks of the disease, and it will eventually go extinct. It is vital to demonstrate that the DFE is globally-asymptotically stable (GAS) if  $R_c < 1$  and the initial subpopulation sizes do not affect the eradication of campylobacteriosis.

**Theorem 3.2.** The DFE  $(E_1)$  of the model (2.4) is globally asymptotically stable in  $\Omega$  whenever  $R_c \leq 1$ .

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.2 will be established using the Theorem of comparison (Lakshmikantham *et al.*, 1989). The following is the matrix-vector form for the equations on the model's (2.4) infected components:

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} = \left[ \left( F - V \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{S_h}{N_h} \right) H_1 - \left( 1 - \frac{S_v}{N_v} \right) H_2 \right] L(t), \qquad (3.3)$$

where  $L(t) = (E_h(t), I_a(t), I_s(t), R_h(t), I_v(t), R_v(t))^T$  and the matrices *F* and *V* are given in section 3. Furthermore,

and

Since  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are nonnegative matrices and  $S_h(t) \le N_h(t)$  and  $S_v(t) \le N_v(t)$  in  $\Omega$ , it follows that

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \le [(F - V)]L(t) \tag{3.4}$$

The differential inequality system (3.4) is stable whenever  $R_c < 1$ , based on the fact that all of the matrix eigenvalues have negative real parts. Thus, according to comparison theorem of (Lakshmikantham *et al* 1989).

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (E_h(t), I_a(t), I_s(t), R_h(t), I_v(t), R_v(t)) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$
(3.5)

It can be shown by substituting (3.5) into (2.1) that  $S_h \to \frac{\Lambda_h}{\mu_h}$  and  $S_v \to \frac{\Lambda_v}{\mu_v}$  as  $t \to \infty$ . thus,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (S_h(t), E_h(t), I_a(t), I_s(t), R_h(t), S_v(t), I_v(t), R_v(t) = (\frac{\Lambda_h}{\mu_h}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\Lambda_h}{\mu_h}, 0, 0) = E_1^*.$$
(3.6)

Hence, every solution to the equation of the model (2.4) and initial conditions in  $\Omega$ , approaches the DFE  $(E_1)$  as  $t \to \infty$  whenever  $R_c < 1$ . The epidemiological implication of Theorem 3.2 is that irrespective of the number of infectives in the population, if the threshold quantity  $R_c$  can be kept below unity, campylobacteriosis will be effectively controlled in the community

#### 4 Numerical Simulation

In this section, numerical simulations for the transmission dynamics of campylobacteriosis are performed on model (2.4) with the parameter values from Table 2 and the assumed initial data. The model (2.4) is solved numerically using MATLAB ODE45 solver. In Figure 2, an increase in the progression rate of the asymptomatic individuals to the symptomatic class experienced an increase in the population of the symptomatic individuals. In Figures 3 and 4 (as expected), an increase in the treatment rate of the asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals led to a decrease in the number of infected (both asymptomatic and symptomatic) individuals in the population. This suggests that if treatment of infected (both asymptomatic and symptomatic) individuals is deployed early enough, it will lead to the timely eradication of the disease in the population.

Parameter	Nominal value	Reference
$\beta_1$	0.03	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$\beta_2$	0.004	Parshotama (2011)
$\Lambda_h$	0.002	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$\Lambda_v$	0.005	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$\mu_h$	0.0001	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$\mu_{v}$	0.0002	Parshotama (2011)
θ	0.20	Assumed
ρ	0.6	Assumed
$\phi$	0.3	Assumed
$\gamma_1$	0.4	Assumed
$\gamma_2$	0.7	Assumed
$\delta_h$	0.001	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$\delta_v$	0.003	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
γ <sub>3</sub>	0.05	Parshotama (2011)
$\psi_h$	0.004	Osman <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$\psi_{v}$	0.007	Parshotama (2011)
η	0.5	Assumed

Table 2. The parameter values of the model (2.4) per year.



Figure 2. Simulation of model (2.4) showing the population of exposed, asymptomatic, symptomatic and recovered individuals. Here, the progression rate from the asymptomatic to symptomatic class  $\eta$  is varied from 0.20 to 0.80.



**Figure 3.** Simulation of model (2.4) showing the population of exposed, asymptomatic, symptomatic and recovered individuals. Here, the treatment rate of the asymptomatic individuals,  $\gamma_1$  is varied from 0.20 to 0.80.



Figure 4. Simulation of model (2.4) showing the population of exposed, asymptomatic, symptomatic and recovered individuals. Here, the treatment rate of the symptomatic individuals,  $\gamma_2$  is varied from 0.20 to 0.80.

#### 5 Conclusion

In order to better understand the dynamics of Campylobacteriosis infection transmission in a population, this study offers a novel deterministic mathematical model with treatment as a control strategy. It is shown that for model (2.4), if the corresponding reproduction number is less than unity, the disease-free equilibrium (DFE) is locally asymptotically stable. The disease-free equilibrium was discovered to be globally asymptotically stable whenever the corresponding reproduction number is less than unity using the comparison theorem. The numerical simulation results show that a decrease in the population of asymptomatic individuals and an increase in the population of recovered individuals occurred when the treatment rate for both symptomatic and asymptomatic disease-infected individuals was increased.

Few mathematical models have been developed to date to investigate the dynamics of campylobacteriosis transmission as well as its prevention and control. Therefore, additional investigation of the

Campylobacteriosis model in this work is required to determine model parameters related to the reproduction number that are essential to containing the disease's spread. In light of this, we suggest further analysis our model as follows:

- (i) Sensitivity analysis of the model parameters associated with the reproduction number be carried out.
- (ii) Further theoretical results, such as the type of bifurcation the model (2.4) can exhibit should be explored.

#### References

Centers for Disease Prevention and Control (2019). Diagnosis and treatment. Retrieved on the 27th January, 2024 from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/campylobacter/diagnosis.html#:~:text=The%20test%20could%20be%20a,g</u> <u>enetic%20material%20of%20the%20bacteria.&text=Most%20people%20recover%20from%20C</u> <u>ampylobacter,as%20long%20as%20diarrhea%20lasts</u>

- Centers for Disease Prevention and Control (2023). Campylobacter (campylobacteriosis). Retrieved on 27th January, 2024 from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/campylobacter/fag.html</u>.
- Chuma, F. M. and Mussa, Z. S. (2021). Campylobacteriosis transmission dynamics in Human: Modelling the effects of public health education, treatment, and sanitation. *Tanzania Journal of Science*, 47(1): 315-331.
- Gumel, A. B., Lubuma, J. M. S., Sharomi, O. and Terefe (2018). Mathematics of a sex-structured model for syphilis transmission dynamics. *Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences*, 41(18): 8488-8513.
- Gweryina, R. I., Madubueze, C. E. and Kaduna, F. S. (2021). Mathematical assessment of the role of denial on COVID-19 transmission with non-linear incidence and treatment functions. *Scientific African*, 12: e00811.
- Havelar, A. H., van Pelt, W., Ang, C. W. et. al. (2009). "Immunity to campylobacteriosis: its role in risk assessment and epidemiology". Critical Reviews in Microbiology, 35(1): 1-22.
- Healthdirect (2024). Campylobacter infection. Retrieved on the 27th January, 2024 from <u>https://www.healthdirect.gov.au/campylobacterinfection#:~:text=Most%20people%20recover%2</u> <u>0in%20about,your%20baby%20throughout%20their%20illness</u>
- Iboi, E. and Okuonghae, D. (2016). Population dynamics of a mathematical model for syphilis. Applied Mathematical Modeling, 40: 3573-3590.

- Lakshimikantham, S., Leela, S. and Martynyuk, A. A. (1989). Stability analysis of nonlinear systems, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Nyasagare, B. N., Osman, S. and Wainaina, M. (2019). Modelling and analysis of campylobacter of campylobacteriosis in human and animal population. *Global Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics*, 15(5): 551-567.
- Osman, S., Togbenon, H. A. and Otoo, D. (2020). Modelling the dynamics of campylobacteriosis using nonstandard finite difference approach with optimal control. Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine. Volume 2020, Article ID 8843299, 12 pages. <u>https://dot.org/10.1155/2020/8843299</u>.
- Parshotama, A. (2011). "Modelling of a zoonotic pathogen (campylobacter) in a dairy head" in 19th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation, Perth, Australia.
- Rawson, T., Dawkins, M. S. and Bonsall, M. B. (2019). A mathematical model of campylobacter dynamics within a broiler flock. Frontiers in Microbiology, 10: 1940. doi: 3389/fmicb.2019.01940.
- Stuart, A. M. and Humphties (1998). Dynamical systems and numerical analysis.
- van den Driessche, P. and Watmough, J. (2002). Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 180: 29-48.
- World Health Organization (2020). Campylobacter. Retrieved from <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/campylobacter</u> on 27th January, 2024.